Journal of Advanced Research 13 (2018) 3-17



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Advanced Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jare

Review

Ureases: Historical aspects, catalytic, and non-catalytic properties – A review



Karine Kappaun^{a,b,1}, Angela Regina Piovesan^{a,c,1}, Celia Regina Carlini^{a,b,*,2}, Rodrigo Ligabue-Braun^{c,2}

^a Brain Institute (InsCer), Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Avenida Ipiranga 6690, Prédio 63, Porto Alegre, RS CEP 90610-000, Brazil ^b Graduate Program in Medicine and Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil ^c Graduate Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, Center of Biotechnology, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 March 2018 Revised 22 May 2018 Accepted 24 May 2018 Available online 28 May 2018

Keywords: Virulence factor Urea hydrolysis Ureolytic microorganisms Multifunctional proteins Plant defense Urease

ABSTRACT

Urease (urea amidohydrolase, EC 3.5.1.5) is a nickel-containing enzyme produced by plants, fungi, and bacteria that catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea into ammonia and carbamate. Urease is of historical importance in Biochemistry as it was the first enzyme ever to be crystallized (1926). Finding nickel in urease's active site (1975) was the first indication of a biological role for this metal. In this review, historical and structural features, kinetics aspects, activation of the metallocenter and inhibitors of the urea hydrolyzing activity of ureases are discussed. The review also deals with the non-enzymatic biological properties, whose discovery 40 years ago started a new chapter in the study of ureases. Well recognized as virulence factors due to the production of ammonia and alkalinization in diseases by urease-positive microorganisms, ureases have pro-inflammatory, endocytosis-inducing and neurotoxic activities that do not require ureolysis. Particularly relevant in plants, ureases exert insecticidal and fungitoxic effects. Data on the jack bean urease and on jaburetox, a recombinant urease-derived peptide, have indicated that interactions with cell membrane lipids may be the basis of the non-enzymatic biological properties of ureases. Altogether, with this review we wanted to invite the readers to take a second look at ureases, very versatile proteins that happen also to catalyze the breakdown of urea into ammonia and carbamate. © 2018 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article

under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review under responsibility of Cairo University. * Corresponding author.

- *E-mail address:* celia.carlini@pucrs.br (C.R. Carlini).
- ¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ² These authors share the senior authorship.

History and molecular features of ureases

Ureases (urea amidohydrolase, EC 3.5.1.5) are ubiquitous metalloenzymes, produced by plants, fungi and bacteria, but not by animals. The most proficient enzymes known to date, ureases

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2018.05.010

2090-1232/ \odot 2018 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

catalyze the hydrolysis of urea into ammonia and carbamate (which then decomposes into another ammonia molecule and carbon dioxide), accelerating the rate of this reaction by a factor of at least 10^{14} when compared to the urea decomposition by elimination reaction [1–4].

Computational modeling of urease proficiency led to the proposal of a value up to 10^{32} times the theoretical rate of uncatalyzed urea hydrolysis [5]. However, one can argue that, in solution, this value is not realistic due to limits imposed by the diffusion of the substrate in water.

Urea, the natural substrate of ureases, was first isolated from human urine by Rouelle in 1773 and about a half century later, Wöhler achieved the synthesis of urea, the first organic molecule to be obtained from inorganic ones [6]. The first ureolytic microorganism, Micrococcus ureae, was isolated by van Tiehem in 1864, and the first enzyme with ureolytic activity was isolated from putrid urine by Musculus in 1874. The name "urease" was proposed in 1890 by Miquel [4]. Urease contributed two historical landmarks in Biochemistry. First, the crystallization of urease isolated from jack bean (Canavalia ensiformis) seeds by James B. Sumner, in 1926, demonstrated the proteinaceous nature of enzymes [7], a discovery laureated with the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1946. Second, the biological significance of nickel was recognized in 1975, after studies of Zerner's group revealing the presence of nickel ions in the active site of the jack bean urease (JBU), obligatory for its catalytic activity [8]. The identification of a plant toxin as a urease in 2001 can be considered as a third breakthrough involving ureases, as it led to the discovery of non-catalytic properties of these enzymes [9]. This finding widened our knowledge on the array of functions performed by these proteins, besides their role in nitrogen metabolism [10].

Ureases are members of the superfamily of amidohydrolases and phosphotriesterases, which display catalytically active metal (s) in their active sites. With a few exceptions reported [11,12],

ureases carry two Ni^{2+} ions in their active sites [4,13]. Ureases from different sources share about 55% identity in their primary sequences suggesting divergence from a common ancestral protein. X-ray crystallography studies revealed that plant and bacterial ureases share a common basic "trimeric" structure [4,14]. The number of polypeptide chains that form the "monomer" or functional unit varies according to the source of urease. For plant and fungal ureases this functional unit is a single polypeptide chain (α) . The functional unit of bacterial ureases is formed by two subunits (α and β , so far found only in the genus *Helicobacter*) or three $(\alpha, \beta \text{ and } \gamma)$ types of polypeptide chains. The most abundant structure of plant ureases is a dimer of trimers $(\alpha_3)_2$ although a few dimeric/trimeric/tetrameric plant and also fungi ureases have been described. Bacterial ureases are trimers ($[\alpha\beta\gamma]_3$) while Helicobacter pylori's urease has been crystalized as a tetramer of trimers of dimers $([\alpha\beta]_3)_4$ (reviewed in [10,14]). The amino acid sequences of smaller subunits of prokarvotic ureases are collinear to the corresponding region in the single chain of eukaryotic ureases [4].

Fig. 1 illustrates the structural features of ureases.

The primitive state of these proteins – single- or three-chained – is one of the unanswered questions regarding ureases. Using phylogenetic inference and two algorithms applied to three different datasets, a 3-to-1 transition in the number of urease's subunits was observed, implying a three-chained ancestral urease from which all the present enzymes derived. In that scenario, the twochained ureases in the genus *Helicobacter* are not evolutionary intermediates of the eukaryotic single-chained ureases [15].

Table 1 presents an updated list of ureases for which molecular and kinetics characteristics are known.

Activation and catalytic properties of ureases

The active site of ureases consists, besides the two nickel atoms, of one carbamylated lysine, four histidines and one aspartate



Fig. 1. Urease structural conservation. A functional unit can be formed by a heterotrimer (as in *Sporosarcina pasteurii*, PDB ID 2UBP), a heterodimer (as in *Helicobacter pylori*, PDB ID 1E92) or by a single unit (as in *Canavalia ensiformis*, PDB ID 3LA4). These functional units (or monomers) form larger complexes, such as trimers, hexamers or dodecamers.

Table 1

Biochemical and structural data on selected ureases of plants, bacteria and fungi.

ide	identifier			Native M _r Oligomeric state	Number of residues – subunit(s) ^a	M _r p <i>I</i>	km for urea (mM)	Optimal pH	3D structure (PDB ID)	Refs
	sis thaliand 550	а			838 aa					[16]
ens	a ensiformi	is		540 kDa a ₆	840 aa 90.8 kDa	5.0-5.1	2.9–3.6	7.0–7.5	3LA4	[17–23]
	1 1 ensiformi	ic		180 kDa	n.a.	n 2	2-3		n.a.	[9]
ens	i ensijornii	15		00 KDa 02	11.a. 95 kDa	n.a.	2-3	n.a.	11.d.	[9]
	cajan 4.1			540 kDa- a ₆	840 aa 90 kDa	n.a.	3.0	7.3	4G7E	[24,25]
ax pec	nax specific			a ₆	90 kDa 840 aa 93.5 kDa	n.a.	0.2-0.6	7.0	n.a.	[26,27]
ax	7 nax			345 kDa	837 aa	n.a.	0.8	5.25	n.a.	[26,28,29
5	us i6			α_3				8.75		
	m hirsutun	п		a ₆	98.3 kDa	n.a.	0.12-0.15	8.0	n.a.	[30]
	ba 16.1			175 kDa a ₂	90.5 kDa	n.a.	0.16	9.0	n.a.	[31]
s ni	ıs nidulans	S		540 kDa	840 aa	n.a.	1.33	8.5	n.a.	[32]
s ni	ıs niger			α ₆ 540 kDa	90 kDa 837 aa	n.a.	3.0	8.0	n.a.	[33]
	388748.2 ccus gattii			α ₆ 180 kDa	90 kDa 840 aa	n.a.	2.0	8.0	n.a.	[34,35]
69	069440 ccus neofor			a ₂ a ₂	90 kDa 832 aa	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	[36]
540	5540				90 kDa					
69	des posada 069440			540 kDa- a ₆	840 aa 90 kDa	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	[37]
es i	des immiti	s		a ₄	839 aa 91.5 kDa	5.5	4.1	8.0	n.a.	[38]
haı	charomyce	es pomb	be	α_2	835 aa 91.2 kDa	n.a.	1.03	8.2	n.a.	[39]
	er aerogen		R3	0.40.1 D		n.a.	2.8	7.5	n.a.	[40]
	cter oxydai			242 kDa		4.3-4.7	12.5	7.6	n.a.	[41]
	erium amı	moniage	enes	200 kDa (αβγ) ₃	α 67 kDa	4.1	32	7.0	n.a.	[42]
	suis rons			$(\alpha\beta\gamma)_3$		5	5.6	7.0	n.a.	[43,44]
er	ter pylori			1.06 MDa ([αβ]₃)4	β 238 aa 30 kDa α	5.9	0.2-0.8	8.0-8.2	1E9Z	[45-48]
					569 aa 62 kDa					
aer	aerogenes	s		(αβγ)3	γ 100 aa 11.1 kDa	n.a.	2.8	7.75	1FWJ	[49,50]
					β 106 aa 11.7 kDa α 567 aa 60.3 kDa					
a n	lla morgan	nii		590 kDa (αβγ) ₃	63 kDa 15 kDa 6 kDa	0.7				[51,52]
ia s	cia stuartii			230 kDa (γ2β2α) ₂	γ 9 kDa β 10 kDa	5.4	9.3	n.a.	n.a.	[53]
					α 73					
iral	nirabilis			252 kDa (αβγ) ₃	γ 100 aa 11 kDa β 109 aa	5.2–5.9	13	7.5	n.a.	[52,54]
				(αβγ)3	11 kDa β					(continue

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Source Isoform GenBank identifier	Native M _r Oligomeric state	Number of residues – M _r subunit(s) ^a	pI	km for urea (mM)	Optimal pH	3D structure (PDB ID)	Refs
		61 kDa					
Selenomonas ruminantium Sporosarcina pasteurii KR133628	360 kDa 260 kDa (αβγ) ₃	γ 101 aa 11.1 kDa	n.a. 4.6	n.a. 17.3	2.2 8.0	8.0 4CEU	[55] [56,57]
		β 122 aa 14 kDa α 570 aa					
Staphylococcus leei	480 kDa	61.4 kDa		1.66	n.a.		[58]
	480 κDa [(γβα)5	γ 12 kDa β 21 kDa	n.a.	1.00	11. d .	n.a.	[30]
		α 65 kDa					
Staphylococcus saprophyticus	427 kDa $(\gamma\beta\alpha)_4$	γ 13.9 kDa β 20.4 kDa	4.7	9.5	6.0-7.0	n.a.	[59]
		α 72.4 kDa					
Staphylococcus xylosus X74600	300 kDa (αβγ) ₃	γ 16.3 kDa β	4–5	n.a.	7.2	n.a.	[60]
		, 17.8 kDa α 64 kDa					
Ureaplasma ureolyticum L40490	274 kDa (αβγ) ₃	γ 102 aa 11.2 kDa β 121 aa 13.6 kDa	5.0-5.2; 4.6	2.5	6.9-7.5	n.a.	[61–63]
		α 614 aa 66.6 kDa					

^a Regardless of the names given to urease's subunits in the initial or original reports, here the subunits were designated according to their homologous protein domains. n.a. not available

residue. The crystal structures of bacterial ureases from *Klebsiella aerogenes* [50] and *Sporosarcina* (former *Bacillus*) *pasteurii* [56] first revealed the architecture of the enzymes' active site. These two ureases have nearly superimposable active sites, very similar to those of other ureases characterized afterwards, implying that this architecture is representative of all ureases. In the active site, the carbamylated lysine bridges the two nickel atoms, with Ni(1) further coordinated by two histidines and Ni(2) by the other two histidines and by an aspartate residue. Additionally, a hydroxide ion bridges the two Ni atoms, which along with other three terminal water molecules (W1, W2, W3), forms an H-bonded water tetrahedral cluster in the active site (Fig. 2) [4,14,2].

Besides the amino acid residues that compose the active site itself other residues, including a conserved cysteine, form the "mobile flap", which works as a gate for the substrate. This flap is composed by a helix-turn-helix motif and is responsible for substrate influx and product efflux in ureases, especially via motion control of a conserved histidine residue [2]. In the catalysis, amino acid residues of the mobile flap participate in the substrate binding, mainly through H bonds, thereby stabilizing the catalytic transition state and accelerating the reaction [2,4,14].

The mechanism for urea hydrolysis catalyzed by urease (Fig. 2) has been a hotly debated subject (see [64,65]). Currently, it seems to be an agreement on the mechanism, strongly supported by studies with urease inhibitors [14,66–68]. After taking the place of water molecules W1-W3 (Fig. 2A) in the urease active site, urea

binds to Ni(1) ion through the carbonyl oxygen, making the urea carbon more electrophilic and, thus, more susceptible to nucleophilic attack (Fig. 2B). Then urea binds to Ni(2), through one of its amino nitrogen atoms, establishing a bidentate bond with urease (Fig. 2C). This bond is believed to facilitate the water nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon resulting in a tetrahedral intermediate (Fig. 2D), from which NH₃ and carbamate are released (Fig. 2E). The main controversy point was that while Benini et al., 1999 [65] proposed that the nucleophilic attack is performed by the bridging hydroxide which provides protons to the NH₃ group, Karplus et al., 1997 [64] argued that it is a His residue from the active site mobile flap that acts as a general acid for this protonation. As an alternative, Karplus et al., 1997 [64] also considered the monodentate binding of urea to Ni(1) with Ni(2) providing the water molecule as a nucleophile for the carbonyl carbon of urea. In addition to these two hypothesis, Estiu and Merz, 2007, based on simplified computer models for the active site, proposed that hydrolysis and elimination could occur competitively in ureases, in which a "protein-assisted elimination" would be favored [69].

To achieve full ureolytic activity, the active site of ureases needs prior insertion of nickel ions and also carbamylation of its lysine residue. In bacteria, four accessory proteins (UreD, UreF, UreG, and UreE) are involved in the assembly of urease's active metallocenter. For reviews on this topic see [13,70–73]. In bacteria, the urease genes *UreA*, *UreB*, and *UreC* encoding the enzyme's subunits are grouped with genes for the accessory proteins *UreD*, *UreE*, *UreF*,



Fig. 2. Catalytic mechanism of ureases. Structure-based urease catalytic mechanism of the enzymatic hydrolysis of urea. The *Sporosarcina pasteurii* urease residue-numbering scheme is used. Please refer to the text for a stepwise description of the mechanism. Note that Ni(1) and Ni(2) are labeled Ni1 and Ni2 in this figure. Reproduced from Mazzei et al. [14] under permission from the Royal Chemical Society.

and *UreG*. In the case of *K. aerogenes*, these genes are organized in an *UreDABCEFG* operon. Knockout and complementation studies of each accessory protein separately have shown that, *UreE* as an exception, *UreD*, *UreF* and *UreG* are crucial for the production of a fully activated "mature" urease [70,74,75].

The traditional model for urease activation starts with UreD, the first protein that binds to the apo-urease oligomer, and serves as a scaffold for the formation of the activation complex. Then UreF binds (UreABC-UreD)₃, and acts as a GTPase-activating protein, since its binding to (UreABC-UreDF)₃ correlates to the GTPase activity when further binding of UreG completes the activation complex. UreG, the first intrinsically disordered enzyme to be described [76,77], acts as a GTPase delivering energy for the urease maturation process. As GTP is hydrolyzed, the nickel-binding chaperone UreE delivers the metal ions to the (UreABC-UreDFG)₃ oligomer [76,77]. This model has been further refined with the increasing amount of structural information on individual urease accessory proteins [14]. In this new activation proposal, Ni²⁺bound UreE binds apo-UreG, facilitating GTP uptake by UreG (presence of Mg²⁺ ions is required), with Ni²⁺ ions being translocated from UreE to UreG. Then, the (UreDF)₂ complex competes with UreE for the Ni²⁺-UreG to form the supercomplex apo-urease/ Ni²⁺-(UreDFG)₂. In the final step, KHCO₃/NH₄HCO₃ catalyzes GTP hydrolysis by UreG, thus completing urease activation. All urease accessory proteins are taken as metallochaperones that bind and/ or transport nickel ions while driving the apo-urease into its fully active conformation. In plants and fungi, the functions of the bacterial UreG and UreE chaperones appear to be combined in a single UreG protein, which carries a histidine-rich domain with metal binding properties in its N-terminal segment [78,79]. The reason why eukaryotes lack UreE is still unknown [79].

The role of each accessory protein in the activation process has been a research hot topic in the last decade and there are some questions yet to be answered, mostly on the sequence of events and oligomerization state of each protein in the activation complex. The description at low resolution by small-angle X-ray scattering of the *K. aerogenes* (UreABC–UreD)₃ and (UreABC–UreDF)₃ oligomers started to uncover what the activation complex looks like [80]. Computational studies provided models of the activation complex [81]. The crystal structure of *H. pylori's* UreD-UreF-UreG complex revealed the presence of tunnels that span the entire length of both UreF and UreD, through which the delivery of nickel ions from UreG to the apo-urease could possibly occur [73,82].

Ureases inhibitors

Studies on urease's inhibitors have been carried out both to provide molecular insights on how the catalytic site machinery works as well as searching for effective inhibitors to counterbalance urease's catalyzed urea hydrolysis in a number of situations [83,84]. Urease inhibitors are a topic of intense investigation. The substrate urea, urea analogues and ammonium ions (products of urea hydrolysis), are weak inhibitors of urease [4]. Searching the Web-of-Sciences database (March 6th, 2018) for articles with "urease" in the title retrieved 4509 documents, 920 were found using "urease" and "inhibit*" of which 413 were published since 2010. Please refer to the next section, "Biological roles of ureases", for more information on the importance of ammonia release by ureases.

An extensive and detailed review on the different classes of urease inhibitors can be found in [14]. Other articles on this special issue of *Journal of Advanced Research* deal in more details with urease inhibitors.

Sulfur compounds

Thiols, particularly β -mercaptoethanol, are of historic importance as urease inhibitors that, back in 1980, provided to B. Zerner's group crucial information on the active site of JBU [85]. Thiolate anions (R-S⁻) inhibit ureases in a competitive manner. X-ray analysis of *S.* (*B.*) pasteurii urease complexed with β -mercaptoethanol (PDB code 1UBP) revealed its thiolate anion bridging the two Ni²⁺ ions in the active site and the hydroxy group further chelating the metallocenter [56]. Sulfite also acts as competitive pH-dependent inhibitor of urease [86].

Hydroxamic acids

Acetohydroxamic acid, the most studied derivative of this group of metal-binding compounds, acts as a urease slow-binding competitive inhibitor. It has been found interacting with the two nickel ions in the active sites of *S.(B.) pasteurii* (PDB code 4UBP), *H. pylori* (PDB code 1E9Y) and a mutated form of *K. aerogenes* (PDB code 1FWE) ureases [86]. So far, acetohydroxamic acid is the only urease inhibitor with therapeutic application to treat hyperammonemia in cirrhosis of *H. pylori* positive-patients [87] and it has been used to reduce urinary stones and treat urinary infections due to *P. mirabilis* infections [84,88]. However, this compound induces severe side effects, including teratogenesis, psychoneurological and muscular symptoms [89], which limit its use and caused its withdraw from the general market [84].

Phosphorous compounds

Amide and esters of phosphoric/thiophosphoric acids

Studies on phosphorus-based compounds as urease inhibitors started in the 1970s after the observation that some organophosphate-based insecticides inhibit soil urease [4,90]. In 1980, Dixon et al. described that phophoramidate inhibited JBU through its binding to the two nickels in the enzyme's active site [65,85]. Derivatives of phosphoric and thiophosphoric acid are potent inhibitors of urease [4]. A great number of derivatives have been developed and patented for potential application in infections by urease-producing pathogenic microorganisms [83] and in agriculture to avoid hydrolysis of urea used as fertilizer [84]. For all the derivatives of this class of inhibitors, the initial enzymatic hydrolysis of the molecule generates diamidophosphate, which is believed to be the actual urease inhibitor [14]. The main issue involving organophosphate inhibitors of urease is related to their low stability in acidic pH. To overcome this problem nonhydrolysable aminophosphinic acids have been developed [91,92].

Phosphate

Phosphate is a pH-dependent urease competitive inhibitor in the pH range 5.0–8.0, but negligible at pH higher than 7.5–8.0 [23,93]. X-ray diffraction structural data on phosphate-inhibited *S*.(*B.*) *pasteurii* urease inhibited with phosphate elucidated that the binding mode involves the formation of four coordinated bonds with both Ni ions in the enzyme's molecule [93]. It is a weak

inhibitor compared to its amides (phosphoramidates) that rank among the most active urease inhibitors.

Fluoride

The mode of inhibitory action of fluoride, explored mostly using *S.(B.) pasteurii* urease, was described as a pH-sensitive mixed inhibition, which varies from a weak competitive mode in acidic medium to a stronger uncompetitive mechanism in alkaline conditions [57]. Five crystal structures of the enzyme in its fluoride-inhibited state were analyzed to establish that one fluoride ion binds to Ni(1) of the active site, while the nickel bridging hydroxide is replaced by another fluoride ion [57]

Quinones

Ubiquitous in the nature, quinones have bactericidal and antifungal activities, and participate of biologically relevant redox mechanisms. Quinones were described as urease inhibitors in the 1970s in studies of Bremner's group, pointing to 1,4benzoquinone as a promising inhibitor of soil urease [94]. More recently, Krajewska's group reported on the kinetics of the inhibition of JBU by quinones, demonstrating a general slow-binding concentration-dependent mechanism indicative of a covalent modification of the conserved cysteine residue in the mobile flap of the active site. In addition to the covalent modification, quinones might inhibit urease through arylation and oxidation of its thiol groups [95].

Polyphenols

Catechol, the simplest molecule with a polyphenol scaffold, was shown to inhibit soil urease by Bremner and Douglas early in 1970s [94]. Plants are rich sources of polyphenolic compounds with antioxidant and bactericidal properties, generally regarded as beneficial for human health. For instance, polyphenols present in the green tea and other herbal beverages inhibited *H. pylori* urease (HPU) *in vitro* and reduced infection by *H. pylori* in Mongolian gerbils [96,97]. The mechanism of inhibition of urease by catechol is not yet fully understood. Current hypothesis are that inhibition by catechols could be due to a time dependent oxidation to ortho-benzoquinone which acts as the actual inhibitor by modifying protein's functional groups [98,99], and/or that polyphenols can coordinate with transition metals forming catechol–metal complexes, inactivating urease's metallocenter [100].

Other urease inhibitors

Although in most cases detailed structural data are not available, other classes of urease inhibitors are known, including boron-containing acids, citrates, and heavy metals. For a review on these topics see [4,14]. Heavy metals such as Hg, Ag, and Cu are slow reacting inhibitors of ureases [101,102]. Bismuth (Bi³⁺) was shown to inactivate HPU by interacting with the cysteine residue of the mobile flap [103]. Due to the bactericidal activity, bismuth compounds have been widely used to treat gastric ulcers associated to *H. pylori* infection [104,105].

Biological roles of ureases that require ureolytic activity

Urease activity enables microorganisms to use urea as their sole nitrogen source. Urease synthesis may be constitutive or synthesized as a stress-related response of bacteria to counteract low environmental pH [106]. Ureolytic activity of the human gut microbiota hydrolyzes up to 30% of all urea produced in our bodies [107]. Microbial ureases are important also in dental health [108]. The production of alkali subsequent to salivary urea cleavage by oral microbiota urease was shown to inhibit dental cavities and plaque formation [109]. In ruminants, animal-derived urea is cleaved by bacterial ureases in the forestomach, releasing ammonia as nitrogen source for the rumen microbiota, which in turn serves as biomass to feed the animals [110,111].

Pathogenesis of many clinical conditions in humans and other animals are related directly to the ureolytic activity of bacterial or fungal enzymes [112,113]. Some examples are as follows. Proteus mirabilis is the most common organism that causes urinary stones in humans, due to urine alkalization promoted by its urease, contributing to the pathogenesis of pyelonephritis and catheter encrustation. Precipitation of urinary salts in the alkalinized urine results in struvite and carbonate apatite crystallization [114]. The bacterium H. pylori colonizes the stomach mucosa of half of the world's population, significantly increasing the risk of gastric ulcers and cancer [113,115,116]. HPU, which constitutes about 10% of the total cell protein, enables bacterial survival in the stomach by neutralizing the acidic medium [117]. Ureolytic organisms in the digestive or urinary tract potentially contribute to hepatic encephalopathy and coma resulting in hyperammonemia and brain intoxication [118]. Reduction of the ureolytic bacteria load and the use of acetohydroxamic acid as a urease inhibitor are considered therapeutic approaches under these conditions [119–121]. Other pathogens also produce urease to acquire acid resistance and enable colonization, among which are Shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli [122], Yersinia enterocolitica [123], K. pneumoniae [124], Brucella abortus [125], and Haemophilus influenza [126]. Fungal ureases are involved in the pathogenesis of human cryptococcosis by Cryptococcus neoformans [127,128], and Cryptococcus gattii [35], and of coccidiodomycosis (San Joaquin Valley fever) by Coccidioides immitis and C. posadasii [37]. However, the role of microbial ureases as virulence factors has a still largely ignored contribution of non-enzymatic properties of these proteins, a subiect that will be covered in the following section.

Urease is ubiquitous in plants and can be found in all vegetal tissues [129,130]. Nitrogen is a limiting element for plant growth. second only to carbon. Worldwide used as a soil fertilizer, urea is a relevant N source for plants, and dedicated urea transporters actively import this compound from the soil [131]. Urea hydrolysis to release ammonia and carbon dioxide is the main physiological role attributed to ureases in plants [130,132]. Urease is abundant in the soil, both in living bacteria and as extracellular urease, bound to clays and humic substances [133,134]. Ureolysis by cell-free ureases alkalinizes the soil inducing calcium carbonate precipitation and affecting the availability of minerals [135,136]. In addition to that, high levels of soil urease reduce the efficiency of urea fertilization leading to loss of ammonia into the atmosphere and ammonia-induced phytotoxicity [90,137]. The search for urease inhibitors with agricultural applicability to optimize urea fertilization is an intense field of investigation. These topics are broadly covered in other articles of this thematic issue of the Journal of Advanced Research.

Biological properties of ureases independent of ureolysis

Table 2 lists the biological properties of ureases found not to require ureolysis, either because urea is not available or its concentration is negligible, or the study employed ureases that were enzymatically incompetent (either with blocked active sites or in the inactive, nickel-deprived, apo-urease form).

Ureases play a role in cell-to-cell or organism-to-organism communication. Arginases with lectin properties from the lichens *Evernia prunastri* and *Xanthoria parietina* were shown to bind to a glycosylated urease in the cell wall of the homologous algae. The polygalactosylated urease is produced only in the season when the algal cells divide assuring recognition of the phycobiont by its fungal partner in the mutualistic association of these lichens [138,139].

Ureases were evaluated for a role in soybean nodulation by the diazotrophic bacterium *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* [140]. Soybean and jack bean ureases were characterized as chemotactic factors recognized by the bacterial cells *in vitro*. Independent of the urease status of the nodulating bacteria, urease-deficient mutant soybean plants had fewer but larger nodules when compared to the wild-type plant. Leghemoglobin production in wild-type plants was higher and peaked earlier than in urease-deficient plants, indicating a less efficient process of nitrogen fixation. Inhibition of urease activity in wild-type plants did not reproduce the results seen in mutated plants. These data made clear that soybean urease(s), but not the bacterial enzyme, participate(s) somehow of the plant-diazotrophic bacteria symbiosis. This role of the soybean urease does not require ureolysis and is relevant for biological nitrogen fixation by the plant [140].

Among microbial ureases that play a role as virulence factors, much attention is given to HPU because of its crucial role in the pathogenesis of gastric diseases. Production of urease proved to be essential to allow stomach colonization by H. pylori, however studies carried out in the early 1990s have shown that neutralization of gastric acidity is not the only function of the protein [141,142]. Following the steps of our previous observations made on ureases from jack bean (C. ensiformis) and from S.(B.) pasteurii (reviewed in [10] – see next sections), we have reported several other biological properties of the purified recombinant HPU, observed in the 10^{-6} – 10^{-8} M range of protein concentration. These properties include induction of lipoxygenase-dependent activation and aggregation of rabbit [143] and human platelets [144]; induction of lipoxygenase-dependent chemotaxis and ROS production in human neutrophils [145]; delaying apoptosis in human neutrophils [145] and in gastric epithelial cells [146]; increase of the lipoxygenase content in neutrophils [145]; induction in platelets of the production of lipoxygenase-derived eicosanoids [143]: promotion of angiogenesis in human umbilical endothelial cells and in the chicken embryo chorioallantoic membrane model [146]; and induction of processing of pre-mRNA encoding proinflammatory cytokines in human platelets [144]. Most of these effects are also displayed by an enzyme-inhibited HPU, while some are induced by one of its isolated subunits alone [144], indicating that these biological effects do not require urea hydrolysis. Other groups also reported biological roles of HPU that are carried out by one of its subunits, implying absence of ureolysis. HPU's subunit B was shown to bind to Th17 lymphocytes [147] and to CD74 on gastric epithelial cells thereby eliciting production of IL-8 [148]. HPU's subunit A contains a nuclear localization signal (sequence 21KKRKEK26), and it was found in the nuclei of COS-7 cells [149,150] and AGS gastric epithelial cells, inducing alterations in the cells' morphology [150].

Altogether these non-enzymatic biological effects of HPU point out to a relevant contribution (yet mostly ignored) of this protein to the inflammatory process that underlies the gastric diseases caused by *H. pylori*. Because HPU activates non-gastric cells such as platelets, neutrophils, endothelial cells, among others, it may contribute as well to the pathogenesis of extragastric illnesses, in particular cardiovascular diseases. Probably none of the future urease inhibitors that are being conceived or are presently under development will have any use to counteract HPU's proinflammatory effects or other unwanted contributions of this protein that are not due to its ureolytic activity. Thus, there is an urgent need to understand the structural basis of the nonenzymatic biological properties of HPU, and of other microbial

Fable 2 Jreolysis-independent biolog	gical properties of select	ed ureases and urease	-derived peptides.	
Ureases and derived- peptide	Entomotoxic properties	Antifungal activity	Mammal neurotoxicity	Exocytosis in platelets
PLANTS				

peptide	properties	activity	neurotoxicity	platelets	signaling	activity	
PLANTS							
CNTX	-	1	1		1	1	
JBU	-	1	1		1	1	
eSBU	-	1	n.d.		n.d.	1	
uSBU**		1	n.d.		n.d.	n.d.	
GHU	n.d.	1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PPU			n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
BACTERIA							
SPU	x	n.d.	X		1	n.d.	
HPU	x	1	1		1	1	
PMU ^{**#}		1	n.d.		n.d.	n.d.	
UREASE-DERIVED P	PEPTIDES						
JBTX ^{**}		1	X	x		n.d.	
SYTX ^{**#}		1	x	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	

CNTX, canatoxin (*C. ensiformis*); JBU, jackbean urease (*C. ensiformis*); eSBU, embryo-specific soybean urease (*G. max*); uSBU, ubiquitous soybean urease; GHU, *Gossypium hirsutum* (cotton) urease; PPU, pipeon pig urease (*C. cajan*); SPU, *S. pasteurii* urease; HPU, *H. pylori* urease; PMU, *P. mirabilis* urease; BJU, *B. japonicum* urease; JBTX, jaburetox; SYTX, soyuretox.

▶ presence of biological activity; ★ absence of biological activity; ** Recombinant protein; n.d. not determined; # unpublished result.

ureases with relevant roles as virulence factors, aiming the design of drugs that could specifically block these other activities. Such new urease inhibitors could be used alone or together with ureolysis inhibitors, to target all the noxious effect of ureases involved in pathogenesis.

Neurotoxicity of ureases

The discovery of the non-enzymatic properties of ureases is closely related to the study of their neurotoxicity, both in rodents and in insects. Canatoxin (CNTX) is an isoform of *C. ensiformis* urease, first isolated from the plant seeds as a neurotoxic protein causing convulsions and death of rats and mice, with an $LD_{50} \sim 2 \text{ mg/kg}$, given by intraperitoneal route [151]. Two decades after the isolation of CNTX, it became evident that the neurotoxic protein is actually an isoform of the most abundant urease (JBU) found in the same seeds [9]. Canatoxin is a non-covalent dimer of ~95 kDa subunits with one zinc and one nickel atom per subunit [9,12] what probably explains its lower ureolytic activity. CNTX and JBU differ in one order of magnitude in their sensitivity to the irreversible inhibitor *p*-hydroxy-mercurybenzoate (*p*HMB), an oxidant of thiol groups [9] and in their metal-binding affinities [152].

Studies on CNTX have indicated that its primary mechanism of action at the cellular level is to induce exocytosis, triggering a signaling pathway that characteristically involves eicosanoids derived from the lipoxygenases pathway (reviewed in [10]). This biological property of CNTX was reported in a number of mammalian models, both in vivo and in vitro, among which are blood platelets and rat brain synaptosomes. The aggregating activity of CNTX in rabbit, rat, guinea pig or human platelets occurs in the nanomolar range [153]. CNTX-activated platelets recruit a lipoxygenase-mediated pathway that leads to influx of external Ca²⁺ through opening of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels and without release of intracellular [Ca²⁺] pools. The increased cytoplasmic [Ca²⁺] triggers exocytosis of platelet granules that contain ADP, which in turn induces the aggregation response [153,154]. Later the ability to induce platelet aggregation was reported for IBU [9], the embryo-specific [155] and the ubiquitous [156] isoforms of soybean ureases, B.(S.) pasteurii urease [155,157], and HPU [143], thus it is a property common to one-, two-, and three-chained ureases.

The observations that *p*HMB-treated CNTX, in which the ureolytic activity is irreversibly blocked, was still lethal to mice and still able to promote platelet aggregation set the ground for the discovery of the non-enzymatic biological properties of ureases [9]. In the following two decades, a lot more of ureolysis-unrelated effects were described for *C. ensiformis* ureases as well as for ureases from other sources (reviewed in [10]).

Eicosanoid

Chemotactic

The exocytosis inducing effect of CNTX was later characterized in rat brain synaptosomes, which responded dose-dependently to the neurotoxin by releasing neurotransmitter vesicles previously loaded with radiolabeled serotonin or dopamine. At 500 nM CNTX, the amount of neurotransmitter released from the synaptosomes was similar to that obtained by depolarization with 50 mM KCI [158]. The ability of CNTX to promote secretion in synaptosomes correlates with the neurotoxicity it induces *in vivo* in mice and rats. The medullar origin of CNTX-induced seizures and other CNS-related effects were described in rodents [159].

More recent data have shown that JBU (10–100 nM) induces Ca^{2+} events in cultured rat hippocampal neurons, an effect also observed for HPU (Piovesan, A.R., unpublished results). In patch clamp experiments, it was observed that JBU increases the frequency of spontaneous firing action potentials in cultured rat hippocampus neurons, rising the amplitude of sodium currents, and apparently not affecting potassium currents. A higher frequency of spontaneous excitatory post synaptic currents was also seen, consistent with a seizure-like activity (Dal Belo, C. A., unpublished data). Studies using microPET (Positron Emission Tomography) indicated an increase of ~30% in the uptake of ¹⁸Fluor-desoxy-glucose in the brain of CNTX-treated anaesthetized rats, particularly affecting the hippocampus, a typical finding for seizure-inducing drugs (De Almeida, C.G.M., unpublished results).

Similar to our observations, JBU had been previously reported to be lethal and to produce seizures in mice and rabbits after intravenous administration [160]. Likewise, purified HPU was shown to kill mice upon intraperitoneal injection, producing hypothermia, convulsions and death [161]. In both studies, the neurotoxicity of the ureases was attributed to the high levels of ammonia found in the animal's blood. Although hyperammonemia probably contributes to the neurotoxic effects induced by CNTX in mice and rats, surely it does not tell the whole story, considering that pHMB-treated CNTX still caused neurotoxic symptoms and seizures leading to death of the animals [9].

Contributions of ureases to plant defense against predators and pathogens

The first description of the insecticidal effect of a urease was published in 1997 showing that ingestion of CNTX killed insects [162]. The susceptibility of the insects to CNTX's lethal effect depended on the type of their digestive enzymes. Insects with acidic midguts and cathepsin-like proteinases, like the cowpea weaver Callosobruchus maculatus (Bruchidae) and the kissing bug Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera), were susceptible to CNTX while insects with alkaline midguts and trypsin-like enzymes were not. These data were interpreted as evidence for the need of proteolytic activation of CNTX that, once ingested, is hydrolyzed by insect cathepsin-like enzyme(s) releasing an internal peptide(s) with insecticidal activity. In fact preventing CNTX hydrolysis by adding a cathepsin B inhibitor simultaneously with the toxin in the insects' diet protected them against the lethal effect [162]. In the following years we described that IBU/CNTX and the embryo specific soybean urease were insecticidal against the hemipterans Nezara viridula [163], Dysdercus peruvianus [155,164], Oncopeltus fasciatus [165], and K. Ponnurai's group in India reported the insecticidal effect of the pigeon pea urease (*Caianus caian*) against Callosobruchus chinensis [25].

The proteolytic activation of CNTX by insect cathepsin-like enzymes was further investigated. Insecticidal peptides were isolated from CNTX's fragments after digestion with *C. maculatus* enzymes [166]. The most active peptide, pepcanatox, with a molecular mass of ~10 kDa had its N-terminal sequence determined and, based on this information, a recombinant peptide named jaburetox was obtained by heterologous expression in *E. coli* [167]. Cathepsin D-like enzymes from *D. peruvianus* midgut that were able to perform hydrolysis of CNTX/JBU and release the insecticidal peptide were characterized [164,168,169]. A similar study was performed with JBU and the milkweed bug *Oncopeltus fasciatus*, identifying a cathepsin L that hydrolyzed the urease to release a ~10 kDa entomotoxic peptide [165].

The recombinant peptide jaburetox was cloned using as template the cDNA of JBURE-II, a third isoform of urease found in *C. ensiformis* [170,171]. Based on jaburetox's sequence, a recombinant insecticidal peptide called soyuretox was produced [172] having as template the cDNA of the ubiquitous soybean urease which, like the embryo-specific urease, also kills *R. prolixus* [156]. Interestingly, the region that encompasses the jaburetox/soyuretox sequence, comprising about 90 amino acid residues, displays a lower similarity when compared to that of the complete sequence of different ureases, suggesting less evolutionary pressure to conserve this entomotoxic "domain" of plant ureases [15,167].

But the proteolytic release of entomotoxic peptides does not tell the whole story of urease's entomotoxicity. Evidences showing that the entire urease molecule is entomotoxic per se started to add up with studies on the anti-diuretic effect of C. ensiformis ureases. In Carlini et al., [162], we showed that CNTX produced an important anti-diuretic effect in R. prolixus that peaked about 4 h after the insects received the "meal" containing the toxin, disappearing after 24 h. However, the hydrolysis of CNTX in the insect midgut was not detected before 18 h, suggesting that the antidiuretic effect was produced by the entire protein. Later, JBU and the jaburetox peptide were shown to cause anti-diuresis in R. prolixus' isolated Malpighian tubules in the concentration range of 10^{-10} and 10^{-15} M, respectively [173]. Surprisingly, although both molecules induced antidiuretic effects, JBU and jaburetox triggered different signaling pathways leading to antidiuresis [173]. In the following years other papers were published by our group describing a list of entomotoxic effects of IBU, some of which are not shared with jaburetox, such as alteration in water transport and of the contractility in the crop of *R. prolixus* [174]. Similar to the data indicating recruitment by ureases of eicosanoid-mediated pathways in mammalian systems (reviewed in [10]), JBU effects in insects required a phospholipase A₂ type XII [175] and prostaglandins [176]. JBU and jaburetox targeted the immune system of R. prolixus, inducing an eicosanoid-dependent aggregation of hemocytes and alterations in cell morphology [176,177] that render the insect more susceptible to entomopathogenic bacteria [177].

Both JBU and jaburetox are neurotoxic to insects from different orders. Jaburetox was immunolocalized in the brain of *Triatoma infestans* (Hemiptera) and neurotoxic symptoms preceded death of the insects injected with the peptide [178]. JBU-induced effects were studied in the cockroach *Nauphoeta cinerea* (Blatodea) revealing that both, the central and the peripheral nervous systems are targeted by the urease, with alterations of the cholinergic, octopaminergic and GABAergic pathways as part of its entomotoxic mode of action [179]. The effects of JBU were also investigated on neuromuscular junctions of *Locusta migratoria* (Orthoptera) and of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera), and the resulting data pointed to interference of JBU on neurotransmitter release, probably by disruption of the calcium machinery in the pre-synaptic region of insect neurons [180].

Previous studies with *B.(S.) pasteurii* urease suggested lack of insecticidal properties for microbial ureases, which was attributed to the absence of part of jaburetox's sequence in those proteins [155]. However, later reports on insecticidal activity of ureases of bacteria from *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* genera [181], *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* [182] and *P. mirabilis* (Broll, V. et al., unpublished results) indicated that bacterial ureases are indeed entomotoxic and insecticidal, in agreement to the fact that ureases contain other entomotoxic domains besides the sequence corresponding to jaburetox.

Ureases are toxic against filamentous fungi and yeasts [183]. The fungitoxic activity of CNTX was the first reported showing that the protein at 2% concentration caused growth inhibition of the phytopathogenic filamentous fungi Macrophomina phaseolina, Colletotrichum gloesporioides and Sclerotium rolfsii [184]. Becker-Ritt et al., 2007, reported that JBU and the soybean embryo-specific ureases inhibited growth and/or spore germination of seven other species of filamentous fungi at submicromolar concentrations and caused damage to cell wall, even after blockage of their ureolytic active sites. In this same study, the two-chained HPU also inhibited fungal growth although with less efficiency [185]. The native ureases of cotton seeds (G. hirsutum) [30] and of pigeon pea [25], and the recombinant non-ureolytic apoureases, [BURE-IIb [171] and a ubiquitous soybean urease fused to glutathione transferase [156], were also shown to be detrimental to filamentous fungi.

In Postal et al., 2012, JBU was tested in the 10^{-6} – 10^{-7} M range against different yeast species and caused inhibition of proliferation and of glucose metabolism, morphological alterations with pseudohyphae formation, and cell membrane permeabilization, eventually leading to cell death [186]. Jaburetox induced similar effects against the yeasts but at one to two orders of magnitude higher doses. Studies with peptides from a papain-hydrolyzed JBU indicated the presence of other fungitoxic domains in the protein, besides jaburetox [186]. Soyuretox, a peptide derived from the soybean ubiquitous urease, is also fungitoxic in the same concentration range as observed for jaburetox [172]. Detached leaves of "urease-null" soybean transgenic plants, due to co-suppression of ureases genes, and infected with uredospores of the Asian rust fungus Phakopsora pachyrhizi developed more lesions and pustules when compared to leaves of wild plants with normal levels of ureases, suggesting a protective role of ureases against fungal diseases in the wild plants [187].

Interestingly, a non-catalytical urease was identified in the soybean genome. This urease lacks critical features of the enzyme's active site, but it is expressed in various plant tissues [188], reinforcing the multifunctional characteristics of the protein, especially when related to plant defense. It is tempting to predict that more of these non-catalytical ureases will be found as more plant genomes are decoded. Altogether these data suggests that ureaseoverexpressing plants or transgenic plants jaburetox/soyuretox may represent alternatives to achieve resistance to insect herbivory and/or fungal disease in agriculture. In this context it is important to mention that ureases can be generally regarded as biosafe proteins, which are present in relatively large quantities in most edible plants and are particularly abundant in seeds of legumes and in fruits such as tomatoes, melon, and watermelon, that are eaten in raw state [129,132]. Although more studies are needed to ascertain the biosafety of urease-derived peptides, no acute toxicity was detected for jaburetox given in high doses either injected or by oral route to mice and neonate rats [167]. Preliminary data obtained for soyuretox in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) model indicated toxicity only in the highest tested doses (Kappaun, K. et al., unpublished results).

Structural aspects of jaburetox

Models of the tridimensional structure of jaburetox [167,189] indicated the existence in the C-terminal half of the peptide of a prominent β -hairpin motif, a feature that could be related to a pore-forming activity eventually leading its neurotoxicity. A βhairpin in the region of JBU corresponding to jaburetox was found in its crystallographic structure [22]. Aiming to carry out structure versus activity studies on jaburetox, three mutants corresponding to truncated versions of the peptide were obtained: Jbtx $\Delta -\beta$, which lacked the β -hairpin motif (residues 61–74 deleted); Jbtx N-ter (residues 1-44), corresponding to the N-terminal half; and Jbtx C-ter (residues 45–93), corresponding to the C-terminal half of jaburetox [190]. In insect bioassays, the Jbtx $\Delta - \beta$ peptide kept the entomotoxic properties of the whole peptide, clearly indicating that the β -hairpin motif is not required for the insecticidal effect. On the other hand, while Jbtx N-ter remained entomotoxic, the Jbtx C-ter peptide, which contains the β -hairpin motif, was less active or inactive when tested on two different insect models. The data support the conclusion that the N-terminal half of jaburetox carries its most important entomotoxic domain [190].

Molecular dynamics studies employing long simulations of jaburetox in aqueous medium suggested that the peptide becomes largely unstructured after 500 ns, more accentuated in its N-terminal domain, while the initial structure observed for its moiety in JBU's crystals is completely lost [190]. Subsequently light scattering, circular dichroism and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies of jaburetox in solution determined that it is an intrinsically disordered polypeptide [191]. Regions of jaburetox which exhibited tendency to form one small alpha-helix close to the N terminus, and two turn-like motifs, in the central portion and close to the C terminus, respectively, were predicted as sites of potential interaction with other proteins or lipids, suggesting that upon such interactions structural changes could be triggered to drive the peptide into a biologically active conformation [191]. The solution structure of soyuretox was determined using the same methodologies and revealed its intrinsically disordered nature, although with more secondary structure elements when compared to jaburetox (Kappaun, K. et al., unpublished results).

Interaction of ureases and urease-derived peptides with lipids and membranes

The interaction of jaburetox with lipid membranes was first reported by Barros et al., 2009 [189]. In this study, jaburetox was shown to cause leakage of carboxyfluorescein entrapped inside large unilamellar vesicles, without lysis of the liposomes. The leakage was greater in vesicles composed by acidic lipids and depended on the state of aggregation of jaburetox. Molecular dynamics applied to jaburetox suggested that its β -hairpin motif could anchor at polar/non-polar interfaces [189]. However, as mentioned earlier, even if the β -hairpin does interact with insect membranes, it is not essential for the entomotoxic properties of jaburetox. Moreover all three truncated versions of jaburetox developed by Martinelli et al., 2014, disrupted liposomes, revealing the presence of more than one lipid interacting domain in the peptide [190].

In another study, JBU, jaburetox and its mutated peptides were tested for an ion channel forming activity in planar lipid bilayers [192]. All proteins formed well resolved, highly cation-selective channels exhibiting two conducting states (7-18 pS and 32-79 pS, respectively). Urease (20 nM) and Jbtx N-ter (1 μ M) were more active at negative potentials, while the channels formed by the other peptides were not voltage-dependent. This study was the first direct demonstration of the capacity of *C. ensiformis* urease and jaburetox to permeabilize membranes through an ion channel-based mechanism, which may be the basis of their diverse biological activities. Molecular models of JBU showed that the moiety corresponding to jaburetox is well exposed at the protein's surface, from where it can probably "enforce" the interaction of the entire urease with lipid bilayers, a hypothesis formulated to explain why the polypeptides share many, although not identical, biological properties [192].

To elucidate whether an interaction with lipids could induce conformational changes in the intrinsically disordered molecule of jaburetox, the structural behavior of the peptide was probed using nuclear magnetic resonance and circular dichroism spectroscopies when in contact with membranes models [193]. The interaction of jaburetox with SDS micelles increased its content of secondary and tertiary structure elements. When exposed to large unilamellar vesicles and bicelles prepared with phospholipids, conformational changes were observed mostly in N-terminal regions, but without significant acquisition of secondary structure motifs. Fluorescence microscopy was used to demonstrate that the lipid vesicles could displace the interaction of jaburetox with lipidrich membranes of the cockroach nervous chord. These data suggested that contacts of the N-terminal moiety of jaburetox with membrane phospholipids lead to its anchorage to cell membranes and promote conformation changes of jaburetox into a more ordered structure that could facilitate its interaction with membrane-bound target proteins [193].

Further studies aiming to elucidate the mechanism of interaction of JBU and jaburetox with lipid membranes were carried out using multilamellar liposomes with a lipid composition simulating that of human platelets, subjected to dynamic light scattering and small angle X-rays scattering (SAXS) analyses [194]. Results were obtained indicating that both JBU and jaburetox are able to insert themselves into the lipid bilayers, reducing the hydrodynamic radius of the vesicles, altering the lamellar repeat distance, the number of lamellae, and decreasing the membrane's fluidity. The interaction of jaburetox affected the vesicle's internal bilayers and caused more drastic effect on the multilamellar organization of the liposomes than did JBU. In the same study, the interaction of JBU with giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) made of fluorescent phospholipids showed that JBU caused membrane perturbation with formation of tethers. The data reinforced the idea that JBU can interact with multilamellar liposomes, probably by inserting its jaburetox "domain" into the vesicle's external membrane [194].

Conclusions and future perspectives

While the history of research on urease as an enzyme is almost 150 years old, dating back to the 1870s, the knowledge that ureases perform other biological roles unrelated to ureolysis is considerably younger, not 50 years yet. An unbiased view of these molecules as more than enzymes is needed to allow discovery of yet unsuspected biological properties of ureases. Finding ureases in sources little explored so far, deciphering the structural characterization of a broad range of ureases including non-ureolytic proteins, and to investigate a potential synergy between catalytic and non-catalytic properties of ureases, are only a few of the open fields in the study of these enzymes.

There are many proposed technological application of ureases [195–200] all of which explore exclusively the enzyme's catalytic activity. There are though certainly much more to be explored with these proteins, starting with the biotechnological use of ureases (and of urease-derived peptides) as transgenes to protect crops against insect herbivory and disease-causing fungi, or as eco-friendly insecticides to control insect borne diseases. The comprehension of ureases as virulence factors not only as an ammonia-producing and alkalinizing agent but acting in a much more complex way, endowed of exocytosis-inducing and pro-inflammatory activities, and recruiting the participation of eicosanoids, may show the way to finding new pharmacological approaches to many pathologies.

Finally, with this review, apart from historical and structural aspects of ureases, we wanted to encourage the readers to take a second look at ureases, very versatile proteins that happen also to catalyze the breakdown of urea into ammonia and carbamate.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Compliance with Ethics Requirements

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Acknowledgements

CRC acknowledges the Brazilian agencies, mainly CNPq and CAPES, for having supported her research activities for the last 40 years, and also to the students and many collaborators, in Brazil and abroad, that joined her at some point of the journey unraveling the ureolysis-independent biological properties of ureases. KK, ARP and RL-B were recipientes of fellowships from CAPES (Coordenadoria de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), Brasília, Brazil.

References

- [1] Real-Guerra R, Staniscuaski F, Carlini CR. Soybean urease: over a hundred years of knowledge. In: Board JE, editor. A comprehensive survey of international soybean research – genetics, physiology, agronomy and nitrogen relationships. Rijeka, Croatia: Intech; 2013. p. 317–39.
- [2] Maroney MJ, Ciurli S. Nonredox nickel enzymes. Chem Rev 2014;114 (8):4206–28.
- [3] Mobley HLT, Hausinger RP. Microbial ureases significance, regulation, and molecular characterization. Microbiol Rev 1989;53(1):85–108.
- [4] Krajewska B, Ureases I. Functional, catalytic and kinetic properties: a review. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 2009;59(1-3):9-21.
- [5] Callahan BP, Yuan Y, Wolfenden R. The burden borne by urease. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127(31):10828–9.
- [6] Wöhler F. Ueber künstliche Bildung des Harnstoffs. Ann Phys-Berlin 1828;12:253–6.
- [7] Sumner JB. The isolation and crystallization of the enzyme urease. J Biol Chem 1926;69:435–41.
- [8] Dixon NE, Gazzola TC, Blakeley RL, Zermer B. Letter: Jack bean urease (EC 3.5.1.5). A metalloenzyme. A simple biological role for nickel? J Am Chem Soc 1975;97(14):4131–3.

- [9] Follmer C, Barcellos GB, Zingali RB, Machado OLT, Alves EW, Barja-Fidalgo C, et al. Canatoxin, a toxic protein from jack beans (*Canavalia ensiformis*), is a variant form of urease (EC 3.5.1.5): biological effects of urease independent of its ureolytic activity. Biochem J 2001;360:217–24.
- [10] Carlini CR, Ligabue-Braun R. Ureases as multifunctional toxic proteins: a review. Toxicon 2016;110:90–109.
- [11] Carter EL, Tronrud DE, Taber SR, Karplus PA, Hausinger RP. Iron-containing urease in a pathogenic bacterium. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;108 (32):13095–9.
- [12] Follmer C, Carlini CR, Yoneama ML, Dias JF. PIXE analysis of urease isoenzymes isolated from *Canavalia ensiformis* (jack bean) seeds. Nucl Instrum Meth B 2002;189:482–6.
- [13] Zambelli B, Musiani F, Benini S, Ciurli S. Chemistry of Ni(2+) in urease: sensing, trafficking, and catalysis. Acc Chem Res 2011;44(7):520–30.
- [14] Mazzei L, Musiani F, Ciurli S. Urease. In: Zamble DB, Rowinska-Zyrek M, Kozlowski H, editors. *The Biological Chemistry of Nickel*, Roy Soc Ch; 2017, 60–97.
- [15] Ligabue-Braun R, Andreis FC, Verli H, Carlini CR. 3-to-1: unraveling structural transitions in ureases. Naturwissenschaften 2013;100(5):459–67.
- [16] Yata VK, Thapa A, Mattaparthi VS. Structural insight into the binding interactions of modeled structure of *Arabidopsis thaliana* urease with urea: an in silico study. J Biomol Struct Dyn 2015;33(4):845–51.
- [17] Sumner JB, Hand DB. The isoelectric point of crystalline urease1. J Am Chem Soc 1929;51(4):1255-60.
- [18] Blakeley RL, Webb EC, Zerner B. Jack bean urease (EC 3.5. 1.5). A new purification and reliable rate assay. Biochemistry 1969;8(5):1984–90.
- [19] Dixon NE, Riddles PW, Gazzola C, Blakeley RL, Zerner B. Jack bean urease (EC 3.5. 1.5). V. On the mechanism of action of urease on urea, formamide, acetamide, N-methylurea, and related compounds. Can J Biochem 1980;58 (12):1335–44.
- [20] Krajewska B, Zaborska W, Leszko M, Brzozka Z. Inhibition of jack bean urease by a mixture of boric acid and phosphate buffer pH 6.96. Pol J Chem 1999;73 (2):359-66.
- [21] Schmidt E. The inactivation of urease. J Biol Chem 1928;78(1):53-61.
- [22] Balasubramanian A, Ponnuraj K. Crystal structure of the first plant urease from jack bean: 83 years of journey from its first crystal to molecular structure. J Mol Biol 2010;400(3):274–83.
- [23] Krajewska B, Zaborska W. The effect of phosphate buffer in the range of pH 5.80-8.07 on jack bean urease activity. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 1999;6(1-2):75-81.
- [24] Das N, Kayastha AM, Srivastava PK. Purification and characterization of urease from dehusked pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan L.*) seeds. Phytochemistry 2002;61(5):513–21.
- [25] Balasubramanian A, Durairajpandian V, Elumalai S, Mathivanan N, Munirajan AK, Ponnuraj K. Structural and functional studies on urease from pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*). Int J Biol Macromol 2013;58:301–9.
- [26] Polacco JC, Havir EA. Comparisons of soybean urease isolated from seed and tissue culture. J Biol Chem 1979;254(5):1707–15.
- [27] Torisky RS, Polacco JC. Soybean roots retain the seed urease isozyme synthesized during embryo development. Plant Physiol 1990;94(2):681–9.
- [28] Kerr P, Blevins D, Rapp B, Randall D. Soybean leaf urease: comparison with seed urease. Physiol Plantarum 1983;57(3):339–45.
- [29] Polacco JC, Krueger RW, Winkler RG. Structure and possible ureide degrading function of the ubiquitous urease of soybean. Plant Physiol 1985;79 (3):794–800.
- [30] Menegassi A, Wassermann GE, Olivera-Severo D, Becker-Ritt AB, Martinelli AH, Feder V, et al. Urease from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) seeds: isolation, physicochemical characterization, and antifungal properties of the protein. J Agr Food Chem 2008;56(12):4399–405.
- [31] Hirayama C, Sugimura M, Saito H, Nakamura M. Purification and properties of urease from the leaf of mulberry, *Morus alba*. Phytochemistry 2000;53 (3):325–30.
- [32] Creaser EH, Porter RL. The purification of urease from *Aspergillus nidulans*. Int J Biochem 1985;17(12):1339–41.
- [33] Smith PT, King Jr AD, Goodman N. Isolation and characterization of urease from Aspergillus niger. Microbiology 1993;139(5):957–62.
- [34] Feder V. Purificação e caracterização de uma urease de Cryptococcus gattii. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2008.
- [35] Feder V, Kmetzsch L, Staats CC, Vidal-Figueiredo N, Ligabue-Braun R, Carlini CR, et al. *Cryptococcus gattii* urease as a virulence factor and the relevance of enzymatic activity in cryptococcosis pathogenesis. FEBS J 2015;282 (8):1406–18.
- [36] Cox GM, Mukherjee J, Cole GT, Casadevall A, Perfect JR. Urease as a virulence factor in experimental cryptococcosis. Infect Immun 2000;68(2):443–8.
- [37] Mirbod-Donovan F, Schaller R, Hung CY, Xue JM, Reichard U, Cole GT. Urease produced by *Coccidioides posadasii* contributes to the virulence of this respiratory pathogen. Infect Immun 2006;74(1):504–15.
- [38] Mirbod F, Schaller R, Cole G. Purification and characterization of urease isolated from the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Med Mycol 2002;40 (1):35–44.
- [39] Lubbers MW, Rodriguez SB, Honey NK, Thornton RJ. Purification and characterization of urease from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Can J Microbiol 1996;42(2):132–40.

- [40] Kamel MY, Hamed RR. Aerobacter aerogenes PRL-R3 urease. Purification and properties. Acta Biol Med Ger 1975;34(6):971–9.
- [41] Schneider J, Kaltwasser H. Urease from Arthrobacter oxydans, a nickelcontaining enzyme. Arch Microbiol 1984;139(4):355–60.
- [42] Nakano H, Takenishi S, Watanabe Y. Purification and properties of urease from *Brevibacterium ammoniagenes*. Agr Biol Chem Tokyo 1984;48 (6):1495–502.
- [43] Bandara AB, Contreras A, Contreras-Rodriguez A, Martins AM, Dobrean V, Poff-Reichow S, et al. *Brucella suis* urease encoded by ure1 but not ure2 is necessary for intestinal infection of BALB/c mice. BMC Microbiol 2007;7:57.
- [44] Contreras-Rodriguez A, Quiroz-Limon J, Martins AM, Peralta H, Avila-Calderon E, Sriranganathan N, et al. Enzymatic, immunological and phylogenetic characterization of *Brucella suis* urease. BMC Microbiol 2008;8.
- [45] Dunn BE, Campbell GP, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ. Purification and characterization of urease from *Helicobacter pylori*. J Biol Chem 1990;265 (16):9464–9.
- [46] Mobley H, Cortesia M, Rosenthal L, Jones B. Characterization of urease from Campylobacter pylori. J Clin Microbiol 1988;26(5):831–6.
- [47] Evans Jr DJ, Evans DG, Kirkpatrick SS, Graham DY. Characterization of the *Helicobacter pylori* urease and purification of its subunits. Microb Pathogenesis 1991;10(1):15–26.
- [48] Ha N-C, Oh JS, Sung JY, Cha KA, Lee MH, Oh B-H. Supramolecular assembly and acid resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* urease. Nat Struct Biol 2001;8:505–9.
- [49] Todd MJ, Hausinger RP. Purification and characterization of the nickelcontaining multicomponent urease from *Klebsiella aerogenes*. J Biol Chem 1987;262(13):5963–7.
- [50] Jabri E, Carr MB, Hausinger RP, Karplus PA. The crystal structure of urease from *Klebsiella aerogenes*. Science 1995;268(5213):998–1004.
- [51] Hu LT, Nicholson EB, Jones BD, Lynch MJ, Mobley HL. Morganella morganii urease: purification, characterization, and isolation of gene sequences. J Bacteriol 1990;172(6):3073–80.
- [52] Jones BD, Mobley HL. Genetic and biochemical diversity of ureases of Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella species isolated from urinary tract infection. Infect Immun 1987;55(9):2198–203.
- [53] Mulrooney SB, Lynch MJ, Mobley HLT, Hausinger RP. Purification, characterization, and genetic organization of recombinant *Providencia*stuartii urease expressed by *Escherichia-coli*. J Bacteriol 1988;170(5):2202–7.
- [54] Jones BD, Mobley HL. Proteus mirabilis urease: genetic organization, regulation, and expression of structural genes. J Bacteriol 1988;170 (8):3342-9.
- [55] Hausinger RP. Purification of a nickel-containing urease from the rumen anaerobe Selenomonas ruminantium. J Biol Chem 1986;261(17):7866–70.
- [56] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Ciurli S, Mangani S. The complex of Bacillus pasteurii urease with beta-mercaptoethanol from X-ray data at 1.65-A resolution. J Biol Inorg Chem 1998;3:268–73.
- [57] Benini S, Cianci M, Mazzei L, Ciurli S. Fluoride inhibition of Sporosarcina pasteurii urease: structure and thermodynamics. J Biol Inorg Chem 2014;19 (8):1243–61.
- [58] Jin M, Rosario W, Watler E, Calhoun DH. Development of a large-scale HPLCbased purification for the urease from *Staphylococcus leei* and determination of subunit structure. Protein Expr Purif 2004;34(1):111–7.
- [59] Schäfer UK, Kaltwasser H. Urease from *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*: purification, characterization and comparison to *Staphylococcus xylosus* urease. Arch Microbiol 1994;161(5):393–9.
- [60] Christians S, Jose J, Schäfer U, Kaltwasser H. Purification and subunit determination of the nickel-dependent *Staphylococcus xylosus* urease. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1991;80(2–3):271–5.
- [61] Eng H, Robertson J, Stemke G. Properties of urease from Ureaplasma urealyticum: kinetics, molecular weight, and demonstration of multiple enzyme isoelectric point forms. Can J Microbiol 1986;32(6):487–93.
- [62] Thirkell D, Myles AD, Precious BL, Frost JS, Woodall JC, Burdon MG, et al. The urease of *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. J Gen Microbiol 1989;135(Pt 2):315–23.
 [63] Neyrolles O, Ferris S, Behbahani N, Montagnier L, Blanchard A. Organization of
- [63] Neyrolles O, Ferris S, Behbahani N, Montagnier L, Blanchard A. Organization of Ureaplasma urealyticum urease gene cluster and expression in a suppressor strain of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1996;178(3):647–55.
- [64] Karplus PA, Pearson MA, Hausinger RP. 70 years of crystalline urease: what have we learned? Acc Chem Res 1997;30(8):330–7.
- [65] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Miletti S, Ciurli S, Mangani S. A new proposal for urease mechanism based on the crystal structures of the native and inhibited enzyme from *Bacillus pasteurii*: why urea hydrolysis costs two nickels. Structure 1999;7(2):205–16.
- [66] Benini S, Kosikowska P, Cianci M, Mazzei L, Vara AG, Berlicki L, et al. The crystal structure of *Sporosarcina pasteurii* urease in a complex with citrate provides new hints for inhibitor design. J Biol Inorg Chem 2013;18(3):391–9.
- [67] Krajewska B, van Eldik R, Brindell M. Temperature- and pressure-dependent stopped-flow kinetic studies of jack bean urease. Implications for the catalytic mechanism. J Biol Inorg Chem 2012;17(7):1123–34.
- [68] Krajewska B. A combined temperature-pH study of urease kinetics, Assigning pKa values to ionizable groups of the active site involved in the catalytic reaction. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 2016;124:70–6.
- [69] Estiu G, Merz Jr KM. Competitive hydrolytic and elimination mechanisms in the urease catalyzed decomposition of urea. J Phys Chem B 2007;111 (34):10263-74.
- [70] Carter EL, Flugga N, Boer JL, Mulrooney SB, Hausinger RP. Interplay of metal ions and urease. Metallomics 2009;1(3):207–21.

- [71] Farrugia MA, Macomber L, Hausinger RP. Biosynthesis of the urease metallocenter. J Biol Chem 2013;288(19):13178-85.
- [72] Ge RG, Wang DX, Hao MC, Sun XS. Nickel trafficking system responsible for urease maturation in *Helicobacter pylori*. World J Gastroentero 2013;19 (45):8211–8.
- [73] Musiani F, Gioia D, Masetti M, Falchi F, Cavalli A, Recanatini M, et al. Protein tunnels: the case of urease accessory proteins. J Chem Theory Comput 2017;13(5):2322–31.
- [74] Lee MH, Mulrooney SB, Renner MJ, Markowicz Y, Hausinger RP. *Klebsiella aerogenes* urease gene cluster: sequence of ureD and demonstration that four accessory genes (ureD, ureE, ureF, and ureG) are involved in nickel metallocenter biosynthesis. J Bacteriol 1992;174(13):4324–30.
- [75] Mulrooney SB, Ward SK, Hausinger RP. Purification and properties of the *Klebsiella aerogenes* UreE metal-binding domain, a functional metallochaperone of urease. J Bacteriol 2005;187(10):3581–5.
- [76] Zambelli B, Stola M, Musiani F, De Vriendt K, Samyn B, Devreese B, et al. UreG, a chaperone in the urease assembly process, is an intrinsically unstructured GTPase that specifically binds Zn²⁺. J Biol Chem 2005;280(6):4684–95.
 [77] Palombo M, Bonucci A, Etienne E, Ciurli S, Uversky VN, Guigliarelli B, et al. The
- [77] Palombo M, Bonucci A, Etienne E, Ciurli S, Uversky VN, Guigliarelli B, et al. The relationship between folding and activity in UreG, an intrinsically disordered enzyme. Sci Rep-UK 2017;7(1):5977.
- [78] Real-Guerra R, Staniscuaski F, Zambelli B, Musiani F, Ciurli S, Carlini CR. Biochemical and structural studies on native and recombinant Glycine max UreG: a detailed characterization of a plant urease accessory protein. Plant Mol Biol 2012;78(4–5):461–75.
- [79] Polacco JC, Mazzafera P, Tezotto T. Opinion nickel and urease in plants: still many knowledge gaps. Plant Sci 2013;199:79–90.
- [80] Quiroz-Valenzuela S, Sukuru SC, Hausinger RP, Kuhn LA, Heller WT. The structure of urease activation complexes examined by flexibility analysis, mutagenesis, and small-angle X-ray scattering. Arch Biochem Biophys 2008;480(1):51–7.
- [81] Ligabue-Braun R, Real-Guerra R, Carlini CR, Verli H. Evidence-based docking of the urease activation complex. J Biomol Struct Dyn 2013;31(8):854–61.
- [82] Farrugia MA, Wang B, Feig M, Hausinger RP. Mutational and computational evidence that a nickel-transfer tunnel in ured is used for activation of *Klebsiella aerogenes* urease. Biochemistry 2015;54(41):6392–401.
- [83] Follmer C. Ureases as a target for the treatment of gastric and urinary infections. J Clin Pathol 2010;63(5):424–30.
- [84] Kosikowska P, Berlicki L. Urease inhibitors as potential drugs for gastric and urinary tract infections: a patent review. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2011;21 (6):945–57.
- [85] Dixon NE, Blakeley RL, Zerner B. Jack bean urease (EC 3.5.1.5). III. The involvement of active-site nickel ion in inhibition by beta-mercaptoethanol, phosphoramidate, and fluoride. Can J Biochem 1980;58(6):481–8.
- [86] Mazzei L, Cianci M, Benini S, Bertini L, Musiani F, Ciurli S. Kinetic and structural studies reveal a unique binding mode of sulfite to the nickel center in urease. J Inorg Biochem 2016;154:42–9.
- [87] Zullo A, Rinaldi V, Hassan C, Folino S, Winn S, Pinto G, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* and plasma ammonia levels in cirrhotics: role of urease inhibition by acetohydroxamic acid. Ital J Gastroenterol 1998;30(4):405–9.
- [88] Burne RA, Chen YYM. Bacterial ureases in infectious diseases. Microbes Infect 2000;2(5):533–42.
- [89] Griffith DP, Gleeson MJ, Lee H, Longuet R, Deman E, Earle N. Randomized, double-blind trial of Lithostat (acetohydroxamic acid) in the palliative treatment of infection-induced urinary calculi. Eur Urol 1991;20(3):243–7.
- [90] Bremner JM, Krogmeier MJ. Evidence that the adverse effect of urea fertilizer on seed-germination in soil is due to ammonia formed through hydrolysis of urea by soil urease. P Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86(21):8185–8.
- [91] Vassiliou S, Grabowiecka A, Kosikowska P, Yiotakis A, Kafarski P, Berlicki L. Design, synthesis and activity of phosphorus containing peptides as inhibitors of bacterial ureases. J Pept Sci 2008;51(18):5736–44.
- [92] Vassiliou S, Kosikowska P, Grabowiecka A, Yiotakis A, Kafarski P, Berlicki L. Computer-aided optimization of phosphinic inhibitors of bacterial ureases. J Med Chem 2010;53(15):5597–606.
- [93] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Ciurli S, Mangani S. Structure-based rationalization of urease inhibition by phosphate: novel insights into the enzyme mechanism. J Biol Inorg Chem 2001;6(8):778–90.
- [94] Bremner JM, Douglas LA. Inhibition of urease activity in soils. Soil Biol Biochem 1971;3:297–307.
- [95] Krajewska B, Zaborska W. Double mode of inhibition-inducing interactions of 1,4-naphthoquinone with urease: arylation versus oxidation of enzyme thiols. Bioorgan Med Chem 2007;15(12):4144–51.
- [96] Matsubara S, Shibata H, Ishikawa F, Yokokura T, Takahashi M, Sugimura T, et al. Suppression of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastritis by green tea extract in Mongolian gerbils. Biochem Bioph Res Co 2003;310(3):715–9.
- [97] Pastene E, Parada V, Avello M, Ruiz A, Garcia A. Catechin-based procyanidins from *Peumus boldus* Mol. aqueous extract inhibit *Helicobacter pylori* urease and adherence to adenocarcinoma gastric cells. Phytother Res 2014;28 (11):1637–45.
- [98] Li G, Zhang H, Sader F, Vadhavkar N, Njus D. Oxidation of 4-methylcatechol: implications for the oxidation of catecholamines. Biochemistry 2007;46 (23):6978–83.
- [99] Kot M, Zaborska W. Irreversible inhibition of jack bean urease by pyrocatechol. J Enzym Inhib Med Ch 2008;18:413–7.
- [100] Mazzei L, Cianci M, Musiani F, Lente G, Palombo M, Ciurli S. Inactivation of urease by catechol: kinetics and structure. J Inorg Biochem 2017;166:182–9.

- [101] Follmer C, Carlini C. Effect of chemical modification of histidines on the copper-induced oligomerization of jack bean urease (EC 3.5.1.5). Arch Biochem Biophys 2005;435(1):15–20.
- [102] Krajewska B. Mono- (Ag, Hg) and di- (Cu, Hg) valent metal ions effects on the activity of jack bean urease. Probing the modes of metal binding to the enzyme. J Enzym Inhib Med Ch 2008;23(4):535–42.
- [103] Zhang L, Mulrooney SB, Leung AF, Zeng Y, Ko BB, Hausinger RP, et al. Inhibition of urease by bismuth(III): implications for the mechanism of action of bismuth drugs. Biometals 2006;19(5):503–11.
- [104] Berstad A, Olafsson S, Tefera S, Berstad K, Hundal O, Bergseth M, et al. Bismuth therapy for Helicobacter pylori infection. A review of five years experience at a university hospital in Norway. J Physiol Pharmacol 1996;47 (1):31–49.
- [105] Pacifico L, Osborn JF, Anania C, Vaira D, Olivero E, Chiesa C. Review article: bismuth-based therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children. Aliment Pharm Ther 2012;35(9):1010–26.
- [106] Cotter PD, Hill C. Surviving the acid test: responses of gram-positive bacteria to low pH. Microbiol Mol Biol R 2003;67(3):429–53.
- [107] Mora D, Arioli S. Microbial urease in health and disease. PLoS Pathog 2014;10 (12):e1004472.
- [108] Burne RA, Zeng L, Ahn SJ, Palmer SR, Liu Y, Lefebure T, et al. Progress dissecting the oral microbiome in caries and health. Adv Dent Res 2012;24 (2):77–80.
- [109] Liu YL, Nascimento M, Burne RA. Progress toward understanding the contribution of alkali generation in dental biofilms to inhibition of dental caries. Int J Oral Sci 2012;4(3):135–40.
- [110] Javorsky P, Rybosova E, Havassy I, Horsky K, Kmet V. Urease activity of adherent bacteria and rumen fluid bacteria. Physiol Bohemoslov 1987;36 (1):75–81.
- [111] Laukova A, Koniarova I. Survey of urease activity in ruminal bacteria isolated from domestic and wild ruminants. Microbios 1995;84(338): 7-11.
- [112] Konieczna I, Zarnowiec P, Kwinkowski M, Kolesinska B, Fraczyk J, Kaminski Z, et al. Bacterial urease and its role in long-lasting human diseases. Curr Protein Pept Sc 2012;13(8):789–806.
- [113] Rutherford JC. The emerging role of urease as a general microbial virulence factor. PLoS Pathog 2014;10(5):1–3.
- [114] Mobley HLT, Island MD, Hausinger RP. Molecular biology of microbial ureases. Microbiol Rev 1995;59(3):451–80.
- [115] Fischbach W. Helicobacter pylori. Internist 2009;50(8):979-86.
- [116] Diaconu S, Predescu A, Moldoveanu A, Pop CS, Fierbinteanu-Braticevici C. Helicobacter pylori infection: old and new. | Med Life 2017;10(2):112–7.
- [117] Mobley HL, Hu LT, Foxall PA. *Helicobacter pylori* urease: properties and role in pathogenesis. Scand | Gastroentero 1991;187:39–46.
- [118] Auron A, Brophy PD. Hyperammonemia in review: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Pediatr Nephrol 2012;27(2):207–22.
- [119] Fishbein WN, Carbone PP, Hochstein HD. Acetohydroxamate: bacterial urease inhibitor with therapeutic potential in hyperammonaemic states. Nature 1965;208(5005):46–8.
- [120] Summerskill WH, Thorsell F, Feinberg JH, Aldrete JS. Effects of urease inhibition in hyperammonemia: clinical and experimental studies with acetohydroxamic acid. Gastroenterology 1968;54(1):20–6.
- [121] Haberle J. Clinical practice: the management of hyperammonemia. Eur J Pediatr 2011;170(1):21-34.
- [122] Steyert SR, Kaper JB. Contribution of urease to colonization by Shiga toxinproducing Escherichia coli. Infect Immun 2012;80(8):2589–600.
- [123] de Koning-Ward TF, Ward AC, Hartland EL, Robins-Browne RM. The urease complex gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and its role in virulence. Contrib Microbiol Immunol 1995;13:262–3.
- [124] Maroncle N, Rich C, Forestier C. The role of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* urease in intestinal colonization and resistance to gastrointestinal stress. Res Microbiol 2006;157(2):184–93.
- [125] Sangari FJ, Seoane A, Rodriguez MC, Aguero J, Garcia Lobo JM. Characterization of the urease operon of *Brucella abortus* and assessment of its role in virulence of the bacterium. Infect Immun 2007;75(2): 774–80.
- [126] Murphy TF, Brauer AL. Expression of urease by *Haemophilus influenzae* during human respiratory tract infection and role in survival in an acid environment. BMC Microbiol 2011;11:183.
- [127] Olszewski MA, Noverr MC, Chen GH, Toews GB, Cox GM, Perfect JR, et al. Urease expression by *Cryptococcus neoformans* promotes microvascular sequestration, thereby enhancing central nervous system invasion. Am J Pathol 2004;164(5):1761–71.
- [128] Shi M, Li SS, Zheng C, Jones GJ, Kim KS, Zhou H, et al. Real-time imaging of trapping and urease-dependent transmigration of *Cryptococcus neoformans* in mouse brain. J Clin Invest 2010;120(5):1683–93.
- [129] Sirko A, Brodzik R. Plant ureases: roles and regulation. Acta Biochim Pol 2000;47(4):1189–95.
- [130] Witte CP. Urea metabolism in plants. Plant Sci 2011;180(3):431-8.
- [131] Liu LH, Ludewig U, Frommer WB, von Wiren N. AtDUR3 encodes a new type of high-affinity urea/H+ symporter in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 2003;15 (3):790–800.
- [132] Follmer C. Insights into the role and structure of plant ureases. Phytochemistry 2008;69(1):18–28.
- [133] Kumar V, Wagenet RJ. Urease activity and kinetics of urea transformation in soils. Soil Sci 1984;137(4):263–9.

- [134] Ciurli S, Marzadori C, Benini S, Deiana S, Gessa C. Urease from the soil bacterium *Bacillus pasteurii*: immobilization on Ca-polygalacturonate. Soil Biol Biochem 1996;28(6):811–7.
- [135] Bachmeier KL, Williams AE, Warmington JR, Bang SS. Urease activity in microbiologically-induced calcite precipitation. J Biotechnol 2002;93 (2):171–81.
- [136] Sondi I, Salopek-Sondi B. Influence of the primary structure of enzymes on the formation of CaCO₂ polymorphs: a comparison of plant (*Canavalia ensiformis*) and bacterial (*Bacillus pasteurii*) ureases. Langmuir 2005;21 (19):8876–82.
- [137] Krogmeier MJ, McCarty GW, Bremner JM. Phytotoxicity of foliar-applied urea. P Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86(21):8189–91.
- [138] Sacristan M, Millanes AM, Legaz ME, Vicente C. A lichen lectin specifically binds to the alpha-1,4-polygalactoside moiety of urease located in the cell wall of homologous algae. Plant Signal Behav 2006;1(1):23–7.
- [139] Millanes AM, Fontaniella B, Garcia ML, Solas MT, Vicente C, Legaz ME. Cytochemical location of urease in the cell wall of two different lichen phycobionts. Tissue Cell 2004;36(6):373–7.
- [140] Medeiros-Silva M, Franck WL, Borba MP, Pizzato SB, Strodtman KN, Emerich DW, et al. Soybean ureases, but not that of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, are involved in the process of soybean root nodulation. J Agr Food Chem 2014;62 (16):3517–24.
- [141] Eaton KA, Brooks CL, Morgan DR, Krakowka S. Essential role of urease in pathogenesis of gastritis induced by *Helicobacter pylori* in gnotobiotic piglets. Infect Immun 1991;59(7):2470–5.
- [142] Tsuda M, Karita M, Mizote T, Morshed MG, Okita K, Nakazawa T. Essential role of *Helicobacter pylori* urease in gastric colonization: definite proof using a urease-negative mutant constructed by gene replacement. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1994;6(Suppl 1):S49–52.
- [143] Wassermann GE, Olivera-Severo D, Uberti AF, Carlini CR. Helicobacter pylori urease activates blood platelets through a lipoxygenase-mediated pathway. J Cell Mol Med 2010;14(7):2025–34.
- [144] Scopel-Guerra A, Olivera-Severo D, Staniscuaski F, Uberti AF, Callai-Silva N, Jaeger N, et al. The impact of *Helicobacter pylori* urease upon platelets and consequent contributions to inflammation. Front Microbiol (Dec 12) 2017;8:2447.
- [145] Uberti AF, Olivera-Severo D, Wassermann GE, Scopel-Guerra A, Moraes JA, Barcellos-de-Souza P, et al. Pro-inflammatory properties and neutrophil activation by *Helicobacter pylori* urease. Toxicon 2013;69:240–9.
- [146] Olivera-Severo D, Uberti AF, Marques MS, Pinto MT, Gomez-Lazaro M, Figueiredo C, et al. A new role for *Helicobacter pylori* urease: contributions to angiogenesis. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1883.
- [147] Zhang JY, Liu T, Guo H, Liu XF, Zhuang Y, Yu S, et al. Induction of a Th17 cell response by *Helicobacter pylori* urease subunit B. Immunobiology 2011;216 (7):803–10.
- [148] Beswick EJ, Pinchuk IV, Minch K, Suarez G, Sierra JC, Yamaoka Y, et al. The *Helicobacter pylori* urease B subunit binds to CD74 on gastric epithelial cells and induces NF-kappaB activation and interleukin-8 production. Infect Immun 2006;74(2):1148–55.
- [149] Lee JH, Jun SH, Baik SC, Kim DR, Park JY, Lee YS, et al. Prediction and screening of nuclear targeting proteins with nuclear localization signals in *Helicobacter pylori*. [Microbiol Meth 2012;91(3):490–6.
- [150] Lee JH, Jun SH, Kim JM, Baik SC, Lee JC. Morphological changes in human gastric epithelial cells induced by nuclear targeting of *Helicobacter pylori* urease subunit A. [Microbiol 2015;53(6):406–14.
- [151] Carlini CR, Guimaraes JA. Isolation and characterization of a toxic protein from *Canavalia ensiformis* (jack bean) seeds, distinct from concanavalin A. Toxicon 1981;19(5):667–75.
- [152] Follmer C, Wassermann GE, Carlini CR. Separation of jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) urease isoforms by immobilized metal affinity chromatography and characterization of insecticidal properties unrelated to ureolytic activity. Plant Sci 2004;167(2):241–6.
- [153] Carlini CR, Guimaraes JA, Ribeiro JM. Platelet release reaction and aggregation induced by canatoxin, a convulsant protein: evidence for the involvement of the platelet lipoxygenase pathway. Br J Pharmacol 1985;84(2):551–60.
- [154] Ghazaleh FA, Francischetti IM, Gombarovits ME, Carlini CR. Stimulation of calcium influx and platelet activation by canatoxin: methoxyverapamil inhibition and downregulation by cGMP. Arch Biochem Biophys 1997;339 (2):362–7.
- [155] Follmer C, Real-Guerra R, Wasserman GE, Olivera-Severo D, Carlini CR. Jackbean, soybean and *Bacillus pasteurii* ureases – biological effects unrelated to ureolytic activity. Eur J Biochem 2004;271(7):1357–63.
- [156] Martinelli AH, Lopes FC, Broll V, Defferrari MS, Ligabue-Braun R, Kappaun K, et al. Soybean ubiquitous urease with purification facilitator: an addition to the moonlighting studies toolbox. Process Biochem 2017;53:245–58.
- [157] Olivera-Severo D, Wassermann GE, Carlini CR. Bacillus pasteurii urease shares with plant ureases the ability to induce aggregation of blood platelets. Arch Biochem Biophys 2006;452(2):149–55.
- [158] Barja-Fidalgo C, Guimaraes JA, Carlini CR. Lipoxygenase-mediated secretory effect of canatoxin the toxic protein from *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds. Toxicon 1991;29(4–5):453–9.
- [159] Carlini CR, Gomes C, Guimaraes JA, Markus RP, Sato H, Trolin G. Central nervous effects of the convulsant protein canatoxin. Acta Pharmacol Tox (Copenh) 1984;54(3):161–6.
- [160] Tauber H, Kleiner IS. Studies on crystalline urease. III. The toxicity of crystalline urease. J Biol Chem 1931;92:177–85.

- [161] Baik SC, Kang HL, Seo JH, Park ES, Rhee KH, Cho MJ. Helicobacter pylori urease induces mouse death. J Bacteriol Virol 2005;35:175–81.
- [162] Carlini CR, Oliveira AE, Azambuja P, Xavier-Filho J, Wells MA. Biological effects of canatoxin in different insect models: evidence for a proteolytic activation of the toxin by insect cathepsinlike enzymes. J Econ Entomol 1997;90(2):340–8.
- [163] Carlini CR, Grossi-de-Sa MF. Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal properties. A review on their potentialities as bioinsecticides. Toxicon 2002;40 (11):1515–39.
- [164] Staniscuaski F, Ferreira-Dasilva CT, Mulinari F, Pires-Alves M, Carlini CR. Insecticidal effects of canatoxin on the cotton stainer bug *Dysdercus peruvianus* (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). Toxicon 2005;45(6):753–60.
- [165] Defferrari M, Demartini D, Marcelino T, Pinto P, Carlini C. Insecticidal effect of *Canavalia ensiformis* major urease on nymphs of the milkweed bug *Oncopeltus fasciatus* and characterization of digestive peptidases. Insect Biochem Molec 2011;41(6):388–99.
- [166] Ferreira-DaSilva CT, Gombarovits ME, Masuda H, Oliveira CM, Carlini CR. Proteolytic activation of canatoxin, a plant toxic protein, by insect cathepsinlike enzymes. Arch Insect Biochem 2000;44(4):161–71.
- [167] Mulinari F, Staniscuaski F, Berthodo-Vargas LR, Postal M, OliveiraNeto OB, Ridgen DJ, et al. Jaburetox-2Ec: an insecticidal peptide derived from an isoform of urease form the *Canavalia ensiformis* plant. Peptides 2007;28:2042–50.
- [168] Piovesan AR, Staniscuaski F, Marco-Salvadori J, Real-Guerra R, Defferrari MS, Carlini CR. Stage-specific gut proteinases of the cotton stainer bug *Dysdercus peruvianus*: role in the release of entomotoxic peptides from *Canavalia ensiformis* urease. Insect Biochem Molec 2008;38(11):1023–32.
- [169] Real-Guerra R, Carlini CR, Staniscuaski F. Role of lysine and acidic amino acid residues on the insecticidal activity of Jackbean urease. Toxicon 2013;71:76–83.
- [170] Pires-Alves M, Grossi-de-Sa MF, Barcellos GB, Carlini CR, Moraes MG. Characterization and expression of a novel member (JBURE-II) of the urease gene family from jackbean [*Canavalia ensiformis* (L.) DC]. Plant Cell Physiol 2003;44(2):139–45.
- [171] Mulinari F, Becker-Ritt AB, Demartini DR, Ligabue-Braun R, Staniscuaski F, Verli H, et al. Characterization of JBURE-IIb isoform of *Canavalia ensiformis* (L.) DC urease (L.) DC]. BBA-Proteins Proteom 2011;1814(12):1758–68.
- [172] Kappaun K. Clonagem e expressão heteróloga da urease da bactéria diazotrófica Azospirillum brasilense FP2 e do peptídeo soyuretox, derivado da urease ubíqua da soja (*Clycine max*). Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2014.
- [173] Staniscuaski F, Brugge VT, Carlini CR, Orchard I. In vitro effect of Canavalia ensiformis urease and the derived peptide Jaburetox-2Ec on Rhodnius prolixus Malpighian tubules. J Insect Physiol 2009;55(3):255–63.
- [174] Staniscuaski F, Brugge VT, Carlini CR, Orchard I. Jack bean urease alters serotonin-induced effects on *Rhodnius prolixus* anterior midgut. J Insect Physiol 2010;56(9):1078–86.
- [175] Defferrari M, Lee D, Fernandes C, Orchard I, Carlini C. A phospholipase A(2) gene is linked to Jack bean urease toxicity in the Chagas' disease vector *Rhodnius prolixus*. BBA-Gen Subjects 2014;1840(1):396–405.
- [176] Defferrari MS, da Silva R, Orchard I, Carlini CR. Jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) urease induces eicosanoid-modulated hemocyte aggregation in the Chagas' disease vector *Rhodnius prolixus*. Toxicon 2014;82:18–25.
- [177] Fruttero LL, Moyetta NR, Uberti AF, Grahl MV, Lopes FC, Broll V, et al. Humoral and cellular immune responses induced by the urease-derived peptide Jaburetox in the model organism *Rhodnius prolixus*. Parasite Vectors 2016;9 (1):412.
- [178] Galvani GL, Fruttero LL, Coronel MF, Nowicki S, Demartini DR, Defferrari MS, et al. Effect of the urease-derived peptide Jaburetox on the central nervous system of *Triatoma infestans* (Insecta: Heteroptera). BBA-Gen Subjects 2015;1850(2):255–62.
- [179] Carrazoni T, de Avila Heberle M, Perin AP, Zanatta AP, Rodrigues PV, Dos Santos FD, et al. Central and peripheral neurotoxicity induced by the Jack Bean Urease (JBU) in *Nauphoeta cinerea* cockroaches. Toxicology 2016;368– 369:162–71.
- [180] Carrazoni T, Nguyen C, Maciel LF, Delgado-Cañedo A, Stewart BA, Lange AB, et al. Jack bean urease modulates neurotransmitter release at insect neuromuscular junctions. Pestic Biochem Phys 2018;146:63–70.
- [181] Salvadori JD, Defferrari MS, Ligabue-Braun R, Lau EY, Salvadori JR, Carlini CR. Characterization of entomopathogenic nematodes and symbiotic bacteria active against *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and contribution of bacterial urease to the insecticidal effect. Biol Control 2012;63(3):253–63.
- [182] Chouikha I, Hinnebusch BJ. Silencing urease: a key evolutionary step that facilitated the adaptation of *Yersinia pestis* to the flea-borne transmission route. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111(52):18709–14.
- [183] Becker-Ritt AB, Carlini CR. Fungitoxic and insecticidal plant polypeptides. Biopolymers 2012;98(4):367–84.
- [184] Oliveira AEA, Gomes VM, Sales MP, Fernandes KVS, Carlini CR, Xavier-Filho J. The toxicity of jack bean [*Canavalia ensiformis* (L.) DC.] canatoxin to plant pathogenic fungi. Rev Bras Biol 1999;59:59–62.
- [185] Becker-Ritt AB, Martinelli AH, Mitidieri S, Feder V, Wassermann GE, Santi L, et al. Antifungal activity of plant and bacterial ureases. Toxicon 2007;50 (7):971–83.

- [186] Postal M, Martinelli AH, Becker-Ritt AB, Ligabue-Braun R, Demartini DR, Ribeiro SF, et al. Antifungal properties of *Canavalia ensiformis* urease and derived peptides. Peptides 2012;38(1):22–32.
- [187] Wiebke-Strohm B, Pasquali G, Margis-Pinheiro M, Bencke M, Bucker-Neto L, Becker-Ritt AB, et al. Ubiquitous urease affects soybean susceptibility to fungi. Plant Mol Biol 2012;79(1–2):75–87.
- [188] Wiebke-Strohm B, Ligabue-Braun R, Rechenmacher C, De Oliveira-Busatto LA, Carlini CR, Bodanese-Zanettini MH. Structural and transcriptional characterization of a novel member of the soybean urease gene family. Plant Physiol Bioch 2016;101:96–104.
- [189] Barros PR, Stassen H, Freitas MS, Carlini CR, Nascimento MAC, Follmer C. Membrane-disruptive properties of the bioinsecticide Jaburetox-2Ec: implications to the mechanism of the action of insecticidal peptides derived from ureases. BBA-Proteins Proteom 2009;1794(12):1848–54.
- [190] Martinelli AH, Kappaun K, Ligabue-Braun R, Defferrari MS, Piovesan AR, Staniscuaski F, et al. Structure-function studies on jaburetox, a recombinant insecticidal peptide derived from jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) urease. BBA-Gen Subjects 2014;1840(3):935–44.
- [191] Lopes FC, Dobrovolska O, Real-Guerra R, Broll V, Zambelli B, Musiani F, et al. Pliable natural biocide: Jaburetox is an intrinsically disordered insecticidal and fungicidal polypeptide derived from jack bean urease. FEBS J 2015;282 (6):1043–64.
- [192] Piovesan AR, Martinelli AHS, Ligabue-Braun R, Schwartz JL, Carlini CR. Canavalia ensiformis urease, Jaburetox and derived peptides form ion channels in planar lipid bilayers. Arch Biochem Biophys 2014;547:6–17.
- [193] Broll V, Martinelli AHS, Lopes FC, Fruttero LL, Zambelli B, Salladini E, et al. Structural analysis of the interaction between Jaburetox, an intrinsically disordered protein, and membrane models. Colloid Surface B 2017;159:849–60.
- [194] Michelleto YMS, Moro CF, Lopes FC, Ligabue-Braun R, Martinelli AHS, Marques CM, et al. Interaction of jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) urease and a derived peptide with lipid vesicles. Colloid Surface B 2016;145: 576–85.
- [195] Qin Y, Cabral JMS. Review properties and applications of urease. Biocatal Biotransfor 2002;20(1):1–14.
- [196] Krajewska B. Ureases. II. Properties and their customizing by enzyme immobilizations: a review. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 2009;59(1-3):22-40.
- [197] Zhang Q, Tang X, Hou F, Yang J, Xie Z, Cheng Z. Fluorimetric urease inhibition assay on a multilayer microfluidic chip with immunoaffinity immobilized enzyme reactors. Anal Biochem 2013;441(1):51–7.
- [198] Turkcan C, Uygun DA, Akgol S, Denizli A. Reactive red 120 and NI(II) derived poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) nanoparticles for urease adsorption, J Appl Polym Sci 131(2), 39757.
- [199] Ramesh R, Aarthy M, Gowthaman MK, Gabrovska K, Godjevargova T, Kamini NR. Screening and production of a potent extracellular *Arthrobacter creatinolyticus* urease for determination of heavy metal ions. J Basic Microb 2014;54(4):285–95.
- [200] Talat M, Singh AK, Srivastava ON. Optimization of process variables by central composite design for the immobilization of urease enzyme on functionalized gold nanoparticles for various applications. Bioproc Biosyst Eng 2011;34 (6):647–57.



Karine Kappaun is currently a PhD candidate at Laboratory of Neurotoxins at Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS). She has a bachelor degree in Pharmacy at Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (2011) and Master degree in Cellular and Molecular Biology (2014), in the same University. During her graduate program she studied the properties and structural properties of the urease-derived peptides jaburetox and soyuretox, having been abroad at Universitá di Bologna at Bologna, Itália (2015–2016). Nowadays, she is focused on the understanding the neurotoxic effects of ureases in zebrafish.



Angela Regina Piovesan is presently a postdoctoral fellow of the Biotechnology Center, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS) and also works at Laboratory of Neurotoxins of the Brain Institute, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, both located in Porto Alegre, Brazil. She has a bachelor degree in Biology and experience on biochemical characterization of proteins and enzymes. Nowadays, she is involved in the study of neurotoxic effects of ureases applying several approaches, such as neuronal calcium images and electrophysiological recordings. Besides that, she also manages some undergraduate students in their laboratory tasks.



Célia Regina Carlini is presently a senior researcher at the Brain Institute, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, and full professor (retired) of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, both in Porto Alegre, Brazil. She is member of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences, Biological Section since 2009, and a scholar productivity fellow (level 1A) of the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), since 2003. Her lines of research are focused on isolation, physicochemical characterization, structure *versus* activity relationships and elucidation of mechanisms of action of natural neurotoxins.



Rodrigo Ligabue-Braun is currently a research assistant at the Biotechnology Center of Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul UFRGS), Brazil. Employing a wide range of computational approaches, his research topics are chiefly focused on neglected toxins, moon-lighting properties of proteins, and the effect of unstructured or metastable regions of polypeptides on the structure-function paradigm. His work on venomous mammals has attracted readers both from the toxinology community and the general public alike. Aside from research, Dr. Ligabue-Braun has published chapters of textbooks in Brazil and also coordinated

science outreach programs aiming low-income students from local communities.