



Smoking Is Associated with More Abdominal Fat in Morbidly Obese Patients

Raquel Chatkin^{1,2©}, José Miguel Chatkin^{2©}*, Lucas Spanemberg^{3©}, Daniela Casagrande^{1‡}, Mario Wagner^{2‡}, Cláudio Mottin^{1,2‡}

- 1 Center of Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome, Hospital São Lucas da Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, 2 Post-Graduation Program in Medicine and Health Science, School of Medicine Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, 3 Department of Psychiatry, Hospital São Lucas da Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.
- * jmchatkin@pucrs.br





Citation: Chatkin R, Chatkin JM, Spanemberg L, Casagrande D, Wagner M, Mottin C (2015) Smoking Is Associated with More Abdominal Fat in Morbidly Obese Patients. PLoS ONE 10(5): e0126146. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126146

Academic Editor: Susanne Kaser, Medical University Innsbruck, AUSTRIA

Received: November 11, 2014
Accepted: March 30, 2015
Published: May 15, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Chatkin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors state that they have not received any grant for this study. RC received a PhD scholarship from the Brazilian Ministry of Education - CAPES. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors state they have no competing interests.

Abstract

Introduction

While the association between cigarette smoking and abdominal fat has been well studied in normal and overweight patients, data regarding the influence of tobacco use in patients with morbid obesity remain scarce. The aim of this study is to evaluate body fat distribution in morbidly obese smokers.

Methods

We employed a cross-sectional study and grouped severely obese patients (body mass index [BMI] >40 kg/m² or >35 kg/m² with comorbidities) according to their smoking habits (smokers or non-smokers). We next compared the anthropometrical measurements and body composition data (measured by electric bioimpedance) of both groups. We analyzed the effect of smoking on body composition variables using univariate and multiple linear regression (MLR); differences are presented as regression coefficients (b) and their respective 95% confidence intervals.

Results

We included 536 morbidly obese individuals, 453 (84.5%) non-smokers and 83 (15.5%) smokers. Male smokers had a higher BMI (b=3.28 kg/m², p=0.036), larger waist circumference (b=6.07 cm, p=0.041) and higher percentage of body fat (b=2.33%, p=0.050) than non-smokers. These differences remained significant even after controlling for confounding factors. For females, the only significant finding in MLR was a greater muscle mass among smokers (b=1.34kg, p=0.028). No associations were found between tobacco load measured in pack-years and anthropometric measures or body composition.



Discussion

Positive associations between smoking and BMI, and waist circumference and percentage of body fat, were found among male morbidly obese patients, but not among females. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first investigation of these aspects in morbidly obese subjects. We speculate that our findings may indicate that the coexistence of morbid obesity and smoking helps to explain the more serious medical conditions, particularly cardiovascular diseases and neoplasms, seen in these patients.

Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are currently the leading global cause of death worldwide [1]. A large proportion of NCDs can be prevented if some of the principal risk factors are controlled, such as smoking and obesity. These risk factors are responsible for almost 80% of the deaths in this group of subjects and are mainly related to cardiovascular diseases, cancers, diabetes and chronic lung diseases [2].

Smoking and obesity are independent health risk factors but are also interrelated. However, this association remains not completely understood. The co-occurrence of these two clinical situations has devastating effects for human health[2]. According to Peeters et al[3], the life expectancy of obese smokers is 13 years less than normal weight non-smokers.

Smokers in general have lower body mass index (BMI) and lower body weight than non-smokers, paired by gender and age[4]. This is probably a consequence of several effects of nicotine, such as the increase in energy expenditure[2] and appetite suppression[5], among others. Smoking cessation is often associated with an increase in body weight, but such weight gain does not modify the benefits of stopping smoking[6,7,8].

Smoking is also associated with large waist circumference (WC), an indicator of abdominal obesity[2]. While high BMI is a strong predictor of mortality[9], abdominal obesity is a risk factor for obesity, morbidity and mortality[10,11].

Several demographic factors, such as level of education, age, and socioeconomic status, may interfere with the impact of smoking on body weight. In a previous study[12] we found that the greater the BMI, the greater is the risk of being a smoker, including among the morbidly obese subjects. However, Patel et al[13], studying low income subjects and patients with low educational level, found an inverse association between smoking and BMI, including a smaller prevalence of smokers in individuals with morbid obesity.

Indeed, it is less clear whether this known association of smoking and body weight also occurs in patients with morbid obesity. It is of critical importance to establish the role of smoking in changes of the body composition among morbidly obese patients. Various co-factors, such as age, gender, ethnic differences, tobacco load and socioeconomic status, have been shown to modify the effect of smoking on body weight and body shape[14,15] in these subjects, prompting further investigation. The higher risk of metabolic syndrome diseases[16] in morbid obese smokers is an example of a relevant association.

Therefore, while the association between cigarette smoking and body fat distribution has been well documented in normal and overweight patients, data addressing the influence of to-bacco use on patients with morbid obesity remains scarce. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of current smoking comparing to non-smokers in body fat distribution, especially abdominal fat in these patients.



Considering what is already known for overweight and obese subjects, we hypothesized that morbidly obese subjects show different fat distributions according to their smoking status. This hypothesis was based on three sub-hypotheses: (1) morbidly obese smokers have a lower BMI than morbidly obese non-smokers; (2) morbidly obese smokers have more visceral fat than morbidly obese non-smokers; and (3) these results are maintained even after adjustment for confounding factors.

Methods

Participants and sampling

This is a cross-sectional study in which subjects were recruited from the *Centro da Obesidade e Síndrome Metabólica*, *Hospital São Lucas da Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul* (Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome Center: COM HSL-PUCRS) in Porto Alegre, Brazil.

Data were collected from January 2009 to December 2013. We included patients of both genders, 18 to 65 years of age, smokers or non-smokers and with BMI \geq 40 kg/m² or \geq 35 kg/m² with comorbidities[17]. The exclusion criteria were: any severe psychopathology, abusive use of alcohol or illegal drugs, pregnancy, breastfeeding and illiteracy.

All subjects gave written informed consent before entering the study and were grouped according to their smoking status. Current smokers were those subjects that have smoked \geq 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and were still smoking daily or most of the days. Non-smokers were those who had never smoked or smoked <100 cigarettes in their lifetime and were not currently smoking. Smokers were also classified by the number of cigarettes smoked per day: mild (1–10 cigarettes/day), moderate (11–19 cigarettes/day) or severe (\geq 20 cigarettes/day) smokers [18,19].

Former smokers were defined as subjects who have quit smoking for at least 6 months before the interview date. They were included in the non-smokers group, in accordance with Caraballo et al.[20], the Canada Health Concerns: Terminology[18] and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention[19]. Former smokers for less than 6 months were excluded from this study.

Procedures

Patients were screened among those under evaluation for possible bariatric surgical procedure. Medical history and physical examination were always performed by a physician. A nutritionist (author RC) enrolled the patients into the study, and carried out anthropometric measurements (body weight and height, waist and hip circumference) and the procedures for body composition. For these latter procedures, the volunteer was required to be wearing light clothing and no shoes. Waist and hip circumferences were gauged with a tape (up to 3 meters). Waist circumference (WC) was measured to the nearest centimeter at the midpoint between the lower costal margin and the iliac crest. Hip circumference (HC) was measured at the greater prominence of the buttock. All these measurements were performed also by the first author (RC).

The body composition study included measurements of total body fat mass (kg), percentage of body fat (%), skeletal muscle mass/SMM (kg) and basal metabolic rate/BMR (kcal). Body composition assessments were performed by bioimpedance analysis (BIA) with segmented multifrequency tetrapolar and eight tactile electrodes (In Body 520—Biospace).

Blood samples were drawn for laboratory analysis using commercial kits. Lipid profile (total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein [HDL], low density lipoprotein [LDL], triglycerides), thyroid hormones (T3, T4 and thyroid-stimulating hormone [TSH]) and type 2 diabetes mellitus



data (fasting blood glucose, glycemic index and glycated hemoglobin) were collected at the Hospital São Lucas Central Laboratory, according to standard parameters and methods.

A participant had diabetes when presenting fasting glucose levels of >126 mg/dL or >200 mg/dL 2 h after ingestion of glucose (75 g). The diagnosis of arterial hypertension was made for individuals with systolic blood pressure of \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of \geq 90 mm Hg. To be cataloged as dyslipidemic, the individual needed to have total cholesterol of \geq 200 mg/dL, HDL <40 mg/dL (for males) or <50 mg/dL (for females), LDL >129 mg/dl or Triglycerides >150 mg/dL. Hypothyroidism was diagnosed when the TSH was >4.5 mIU/dL and T4 was <4.5 μ g/dL[21].

The abuse of alcohol was considered when the subject stated consumption of greater than five cans of beer, one bottle of wine or three doses of liquor over a period of 3 h on 3 or more occasions[22]. The picker behavior was characterized when the volunteer had several small unplanned meals[23].

Statistical analysis

We planned a study with non-smokers and smokers in a 4:1 inclusion ratio. Previous data [2], [12] indicated the probability of obesity among non-smokers as 0.2. If the true odds ratio for obesity in smoking relative to non-smoking subjects is 2.2, we would require 272 non-smokers and 68 smokers to have a statistical power of 0.8 and thus to be able to reject the null hypothesis at a significance level of (α) 0.05. To control for confounding and potential interaction effects we have increased our sample size by 50%.

Quantitative variables are described by means and standard deviations or by median and interquartile range when distributional assumptions were in doubt. Categorical variables are presented using absolute and relative frequencies. Student's *t*-test was used to compare means and the Mann-Whitney test was employed to compare medians. We used the Pearson chi-squared test to compare proportions. In order to include corrections for multiple comparisons in the analysis of continuous variables, we used a multivariate ANOVA test with a Bonferroni correction.

To control for confounding factors, we used univariate and multiple linear regression analyzes. The effect of smoking on body composition variables was presented as regression coefficients (b) with their respective 95% confidence intervals.

The level of significance was set at 5% ($p \le 0.05$) and analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences v. 22 (Chicago, IL, USA).

Approvals

The study was approved by the Scientific Committee and by the Research Ethics Committee of the Pontificia Universidade Catolica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS) under the number 234.527/2012.

Results

A total of 536 individuals with morbid obesity were included and stratified according to their smoking status (i.e., as either smokers or non-smokers). The characteristics of the individuals included in the study are shown in <u>Table 1</u>.

The majority of the subjects was non-smokers (n = 453; 84.5%), which had a significantly lower mean BMI than the smokers (43.4 kg/m² vs. 45.1 kg/m² respectively; p = 0.021). There were significant differences between these two groups for mean fat weight (61.2 kg vs. 64.9 kg for non-smokers and smokers, respectively; p = 0.020) and mean fat percentage (49.6% vs. 50.9% for non-smokers and smokers, respectively; p = 0.004). Abusive use of alcohol was also



Table 1. Clinical and demographic profile of the sample stratified by smoking status.

Variables	Total (n = 536)	Non-smokers (n = 453; 84.5%)	Smokers (n = 83; 15.5%)	р	p ^{multiple comparisons}
Gender female	384 (71.6%)	321 (70.9%)	63 (75.9)	0.427	-
Age, years	36.55 (±10.20)	36.45 (±10.31)	37.14 (±9.67)	0.568	0.724
Weight, Kg	122.48 (±22.52)	122.2 (±22.44)	126.5 (±22.70)	0.111	0.108
BMI, Kg/m ²	43.65 (±6.18)	43.39 ± (6.18)	45.08 (±6.07)	0.021	0.024
WC, cm	128.36 (±13.66)	128.03 (±13.65)	130.18 (±13.67)	0.187	0.212
HC, cm	133.55 (±11.85)	133.2 (±12.05)	135.4 (±10.56)	0.109	0.135
WHR	0.964 (±0.09)	0.964 (±0.09)	0.964 ± (0.10)	0.987	0.997
SMM, Kg	33.90 (±7.17)	34.2 (±7.13)	34.8 (±7.42)	0.456	0.414
Body fat, Kg	62.55 (±11.94)	61.2 (±13.13)	64.9 (±12.93)	0.020	0.021
Body fat, %	49.78 (±4.35)	49.58 (±4.47)	50.85 (±3.45)	0.004	0.016
MBR, Kcal/dia	1690.02 (±257.9)	1688 (±259.65)	1699 (±249.39)	0.369	0.712
Diabetes	103 (19.2)	83 (18.3)	20 (24.1)	0.227	-
HOMA	415 (77.4)	350 (77.3)	65 (78.3)	0.887	-
Dyslipidemia	298 (55.6)	246 (54.3)	52 (62.7)	0.186	-
Hypertension	243 (45.3)	209 (46.1)	34 (41)	0.403	-
Hypothyroidism	95 (17.7)	82 (18.1)	13 (15.7)	0.643	-
Alcohol abuse	102 (19.1)	78 (17.3)	24 (28.9)	0.022	-
Picky eater	219 (40.9)	180 (39.7)	39 (47)	0.226	-
NpacY	-	-	11 (3 to 20)	-	-
N cigarettes /day	-	-	20 (8 to 20)	-	-
Age of onset	-	-	18 (16 to 22)	-	-
Smoking period, years	-	-	16 (8 to 22)	-	-

Note: Results expressed as mean ±SD: sex, age, weight, BMI (body mass index), WC (waist circumference), HC (hip circumference), WHR (waist to hip ratio), SMM (skeletal muscle mass); body fat, body fat, MBR (basal metabolic rate); Results expressed in number (percentage): diabetes, HOMA (homeostasis model assessment insulin resistant), dyslipidemia, hypertension, hypothyroidism, alcohol abuse, picky eater; Results expressed as median (25/75 percentile): NpacY (number of pack-years), N cigarettes/day (number of cigarettes/day) age of onset, smoking period.

Discrete variables analyzed by Pearson or Fisher chi-square test; continuous variables analyzed by Student t test and ANOVA test with Bonferroni correction (for multiple comparisons).

Values in bold = statistic significant (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126146.t001

significantly higher among smokers compared to non-smokers. No other significant differences were found among other clinical and sociodemographic variables.

Table 2 shows the participants' clinical and demographic profiles according to smoking status and stratified by gender. After stratifying by gender, male smokers were heavier (151.9 kg vs.~140.2 kg; p = 0.036), had greater BMI (48.3 kg/m² vs.~44.9 kg/m²; p = 0.029), larger WC (144.7 cm vs.~138.8 cm; p = 0.042), greater WHR (1.08 vs.~1.05; p = 0.015), lower body fat (65.9 kg vs.~74.7 kg; p = 0.022), and higher fat percentage (48.3% vs.~45.9%; p = 0.047). Among women, smokers had higher WHR (1.07 vs.~1.04; p = 0.020) but lower alcohol abuse (2.2% vs.~10.6%; p = 0.020). No other characteristics were found to have significant differences between female smokers and non-smokers.

<u>Table 3</u> presents the univariate and multiple analyses using linear regression to evaluate the effect of smoking in relation to anthropometric and bioimpedance data, stratified by gender.

Considering the whole sample, in the univariate analysis the BMI was found to be higher in morbidly obese smokers than in non-smokers ($b = 1.69 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 95%CI 0.24 to 3.13, p = 0.022), and this significant difference was maintained after adjusting for confounding factors ($b = 1.96 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 95%CI 0.54 to 3.39, p = 0.007). In the univariate analysis, smoking did not affect WC,



Table 2. Clinical and demographic profile of the sample stratified by gender and according to smoking status.

Variables	Male				Fem	ale		
	Non Smoker (n = 132)	Smoker (n = 20)	р	p ^{multiple comparisons}	Non Smoker Smoker (n = 321) (n = 63)		p	p ^{multiple comparisons}
Age, Years	36.37 (±10.02)	33.15 (±9.26)	0.178	0.178	36.48 (±10.43)	38.41 (±9.51)	0.174	0.262
Weight, Kg	140.22 (±23.00)	151.85 (±22.26)	0.036	0.037	114.24 (±17.25)	117.96 (±15.84)	0.113	0.115
BMI, Kg/m ²	44.85 (±6.50)	48.30 (±6.68)	0.029	0.024	42.79 (±5.94)	44.06 (±5.55)	0.119	0.140
WC, cm	138.78 (±12.16)	144.70 (±11.21)	0.042	0.042	123.60 (±11.64)	125.57 (±10.91)	0.216	0.263
HC, cm	132.83 (±13.35)	136.40 (±14.18)	0.270	0.270	133.36 (±11.49)	135.17 (±9.25)	0.239	0.294
WHR	1.04 (±0.06)	1.06 (±0.09)	0.394	0.277	0.929 (±0.08)	0.931 (±0.08)	0.892	0.897
SMM, kg	42.11 (±5.73)	43.40 (±5.67)	0.351	0.298	30.37 (±4.24)	31.55 (±5.33)	0.051	0.045
Body fat, Kg	74.73 (±15.22)	65.87 (±16.10)	0.022	0.022	61.82 (±10.45)	62.31 (±53.78)	0.943	0.122
Body fat, %	45.91 (±5.05)	48.30 (±4.18)	0.047	0.042	51.10 (±3.15)	51.67 (±2.74)	0.184	0.203
MBR, kcal/day	1988.48 (±211.78)	2043.75 (±205.34)	0.277	0.277	1563.63 (±154.42)	1588.71 (±134.62)	0.234	0.234
Diabetes	34 (25.8)	9 (45.0)	0.108	-	49 (15.30)	11 (17.50)	0.704	-
HOMA	112 (84.8)	16 (80.0)	0.525	-	238 (74.10)	49 (77.8)	0.635	-
Dyslipidemia	79 (59.8)	12 (60.0)	1.00	-	167 (52.0)	40 (63.5)	0.099	-
Hypertension	70 (53.0)	11 (55.0)	1.00	-	139 (43.30)	23 (36.5)	0.333	-
Hypothyroidism	13 (9.8)	2 (10.0)	1.00	-	69 (21.50)	11 (17.5)	0.611	-
Alcohol abuse	44 (33,3)	10 (50.0)	0.209	-	34 (10.60)	14 (2.22)	0.020	-
Picky eater	46 (34,8)	7 (35.0)	1.00	-	134 (41.9)	32 (50.8)	0.212	-
NpacY	-	13.44 (±12.79)	-	-	-	16.55 (±17.61)	-	-
Number cigarettes/ day	-	17.70 (±9.27)	-	-	-	15.41 (±12.27)	-	-
Age of starting, years	-	18.65 (±4.10)	-	-	-	19.89 (±5.83)	-	-
Smoking period, years	-	14.05 (±8.59)	-	-	-	24.55 (±59.19)	-	-

Note: Results expressed as mean (± standard deviation): sex, age, weight, BMI (body mass index), WC (waist circumference), HC (hip circumference), WHR (waist to hip ratio), SMM (skeletal muscle mass); body fat, percentage of body fat, MBR (basal metabolic rate), NpacY (number of pack-years), number of cigarettes/day, age of starting, smoking period; Results expressed in number (percentage): Diabetes, HOMA (homeostasis model assessment insulin resistant), dyslipidemia, hypertension, hypothyroidism, alcohol abuse, picky eater.

Discrete variables analyzed by Pearson or Fisher chi-square test; continuous variables analyzed by Student t test and ANOVA test with Bonferroni correction (for multiple comparisons).

Values in bold = statistic significant (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126146.t002

but it became significant after adjustment (included factors were gender, age, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, alcohol abuse and picker behavior). Thus, obese smokers had on average 3.22 cm (95%CI 0.46 to 5.97, p = 0.022) greater WC than obese non-smokers. Also, smokers had higher percentage of fat (b = 1.11%, 95%CI 0.23 to 1.99, p<0.014) and weight of lean mass (SMM) (b = 1.25Kg, 95%CI 0.13 to 2.38, p = 0.029) compared to non-smokers after adjustment for the same confounding factors.

When these analyses were stratified by gender and controlled by the others confounding factors, male smokers presented higher BMI (b = 3.28 kg/m^2 , 95%CI 0.21 to 6.34, p = 0.036), showed 6.07 cm larger WC (95%CI 0.24 to 11.9, p = 0.041), and presented higher fat percentage (b = 2.33%; 95%CI 0.00 to 4.67, p = 0.050). Among women, the only significant difference



Table 3. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression to evaluate the effect of smoking in the anthropometric and bioimpedance outcomes, total sample and stratified by gender.

Outcomes	Total (n = 536)				Male (n = 152)			Female (n = 384)		
	b	CI 95%	p	b	95%CI	p	b	95%CI	Р	
BMI (kg/m2)										
Non-Adjusted	1.69	0.24 to 3.13	0.022	3.44	0.35 to 6.54	0.029	1.27	-0.33 to 2.86	0.119	
Adjusted	1.96	0.54 to 3.39	0.007	3.28	0.21 to 6.34	0.036	1.46	-0.15 to 3.07	0.075	
WC (cm)										
Non-Adjusted	2.15	-1.05 to 5.36	0.187	5.92	0.21 to 11.6	0.042	1.97	-1.16 to 5.09	0.216	
Adjusted	3.22	0.46 to 5.97	0.022	6.07	0.24 to 11.9	0.041	2.24	-0.91 to 5.38	0.162	
HC (cm)										
Non-Adjusted	2.27	-0.51 to 5.04	0.109	3.57	-2.81 to 9.96	0.270	1.82	-1.21 to 4.84	0.239	
Adjusted	2.52	-0.20 to 5.24	0.070	3.16	-3.09 to 9.40	0.999	2.17	-0.84 to 5.17	0.157	
WHR										
Non-Adjusted	0.00	-0.02 to 0.02	0.987	0.02	-0.01 to 0.05	0.277	0.00	-0.02 to 0.02	0.892	
Adjusted	-0.01	-0.02 to 0.01	0.622	0.02	-0.01 to 0.05	0.167	0.00	-0.02 to 0.02	0.913	
Basal Metabolic rate Kcal/dia										
Non-Adjusted	11.4	-49.4 to 72.3	0.712	55.3	-44.8 to 155	0.277	25.1	-16.2 to 66.4	0.234	
Adjusted	32.6	-7.2 to 72.5	0.108	40.2	-61.9 to 142	0.438	28.8	-12.2 to 69.8	0.168	
Fat percentage %										
Non-Adjusted	1.27	0.25 to 2.29	0.015	2.38	0.04 to 4.73	0.047	0.57	-0.27 to 1.41	0.184	
Adjusted	1.11	0.23 to 1.99	0.014	2.33	0.00 to 4.67	0.050	0.58	-0.28 to 1.44	0.183	
SMM (kg)										
Non-Adjusted	0.59	-1.09 to 2.28	0.490	1.29	-1.43 to 4.00	0.351	1.18	-0.02 to 2.39	0.054	
djusted	1.25	0.13 to 2.38	0.029	0.85	-1.92 to 3.61	0.546	1.34	0.15 to 2.54	0.028	

Note: Adjusted for gender (except on gender stratum), age, diabetes, dyslipidemia, alcohol abuse and picky eater; b = regression coefficient; BMI = body mass index; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; WHR = waist to hip ratio; SMM = Muscle mass; BMR = basal metabolic rate; values in bold = statistically significant (p<0.05)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126146.t003

observed after adjustment was a higher SMM (b = 1.34Kg 95%CI 0.15 to 2.54 p = 0.028) for smokers. The variables HC, WHR, and BMR showed no significant difference for both genders.

We also performed the same univariate and multiple linear regression analyses after removing the former smokers (n=101) from the study group. The results for the total sample were similar, with smokers characterized by higher BMIs, larger WCs, and higher percentages of fat and lean mass weight than never-smokers. However, in this model, the stratification by gender did not reveal differences between smokers and non-smokers in males and only maintained the greatest SMM among female smokers ($\underline{S1\ Table}$).

Regarding the number of cigarettes smoked per day among the 83 smoking subjects, 39 (47.0%) subjects were considered mild smokers, 35 (42.2%) moderate smokers, and 9 (10.8%) severe smokers. The median of the smoking period was 16 (8 to 22) years, the age of starting smoking was 18 (16 to 22) years-old and the median pack-years for the whole sample was 11 (3 to 20). There were no significant differences between genders among these variables (S2 Table). We also found a non-significant effect of the smoking load (measured by pack-years) upon anthropometric and bioimpedance variables, even after controlling for confounding factors (S3 Table).



Discussion

In this study we found that smoking has a significant effect on anthropometric and bioimpedance outcomes in morbidly obese subjects, and that this effect differs according to gender. To our knowledge, this is the first study to apply traditional anthropometric tools together with a more accurate instrument (e.g., BIA) to evaluate the effects of smoking on body composition within this special patient group.

Considering the whole sample, smoking was significantly associated with higher BMI, larger WC, greater fat percentage and SMM, even after adjusting to several confounding factors (gender, age, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, alcohol abuse and picker behavior). Some of these findings have already been described for obese and non-obese individuals [24,25,26,27,28,29], but not for morbidly obese subjects.

When these outcomes were stratified according to gender and after adjustments for several confounding factors, male smokers showed significantly higher BMI, larger WC, and greater fat percentage compared to male non-smokers. Meanwhile, the only significant difference observed among female smokers was a greater SMM.

There are several possible explanations for these results. Our findings might be related to the differences in how sex hormones interact with fat distribution. As a consequence, central adiposity is more likely in men while gluteal fat is more usual in woman[28,30]. Sedentary behavior is another possible explanation for the observed gender differences in WC and adiposity. Although morbidly obese individuals have more sedentary behaviors than non-obese individuals, men are more likely to do less exercises and eat more snacks, drink more beverages and spend more time watching TV than women. This behavior could contribute to the significantly higher accumulation of abdominal fat in males compared to females[31].

Lemieux et al[32] have previously shown that men and women have the same increase in total body fat mass and store equal amounts of adipose tissue in the abdominal subcutaneous compartment. However, they suggest that men accumulate more adipose tissue in visceral depots than women, while women store more fat tissue in specifics regions (e.g., gluteofemoral).

In addition, the higher serum levels of adiponectin in females compared to males[33] might also account for this finding. The adiponectin hormone is produced by fat tissue and has important roles in several clinical situations, such as systemic arterial hypertension, atherosclerotic events and type 2 diabetes. Adiponectin also acts on metabolic rate, oxidative stress and inflammatory response[34,35]. Therefore, the higher serum levels of adiponectin in women could be protective for abdominal fat accumulation. Nevertheless, there is lower production of adipokines in obese individuals compared to non-obese individuals, but such production increases when these obese individuals lose weight[33].

Unlike the reports regarding normal and obese individuals, which have shown a lower BMI in smokers compared to non-smokers[27,36,37,38], we found the opposite in smoking men with morbid obesity. Among our subjects, smoking was associated with increased abdominal obesity in morbidly obese male smokers and the higher BMI described here could be a direct consequence. Similar results are found in the literature[24,25,26,27]. Thus, the typical effects of smoking—decreased appetite[5] and increased energy expenditure[2] leading to decreased BMI and body weight-, in this group of patients might be compensated and even overcome by the effect of smoking on body composition, increasing central obesity and subsequently increasing BMI. Among females, we found only a significant difference in skeletal muscle mass between the smokers and non-smokers.

In order to compare our findings with a larger dataset, we analyzed data from The National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES, 2011–2012)database, including just patients with BMI \geq 35 kg/m² according to smoking status defined by cotinine measurement



(smokers defined by cotinine >15ng/mL and former smokers included in the non-smoking group). Morbidly obese smokers and non-smokers presented similar BMI values among males (n = 297; 41.05 kg/m² vs. 39.95 kg/m², p = 0.212) and females (n = 484; 41.37 kg/m² vs. 40.67 kg/m², p = 0.693). When we analyzed just those individuals with BMI ≥ 40 kg/m², smokers showed a higher but non-significant BMI than non-smokers for males (n = 111; 46.97 kg/m² vs. 44.66 kg/m², p = 0.148) and females (n = 225; 46.13 kg/m² vs. 44.89 kg/m², p = 0.294). We found a significantly higher BMI in smokers compared with non-smokers in males (48.30 kg/m² vs. 44.85 kg/m², p = 0.029), but not in females (44.06 kg/m² vs. 42.79 kg/m², p = 0.119). Despite the differences between the samples (our sample derives from a clinical group, with a predominance of class III obesity, and the NHANES sample includes communitarian subjects, with a predominance of class II obesity), these results show a similar tendency of a slightly higher BMI mainly in male morbidly obese smokers.

High percentage of visceral fat is a risk factor associated with unhealthy lifestyle and active smoking seems to be a part of such risky behavior[39,40]. Greater amounts of visceral fat are associated with metabolic syndrome[28,29], type-2 diabetes[41,42], hyperlipidemia and hypertension[43]. Some studies have also shown a direct association between smoking and increased risk for metabolic syndrome[39,44], and that this is related to the number of cigarettes smoked per day [45].

Thus, while morbid obesity *per se* is associated with a substantial increase in morbidity and mortality [46,47], smoking may add a significant burden, especially for morbidly obese patients. Freedman et al.,[48] in a large prospective study, found that obesity combined with current smoking was associated with higher risks for circulatory diseases and mortality in people aged less than 65 years compared to never smokers with normal weight. What seems probable is that the mortality risk among obese smokers, even young obese smokers, far exceeds the sum of the individual risks related to morbid obesity and smoking [49].

The number of smoked cigarettes per day is also associated with larger WC[36,50], WHR [51,52] and BMI[51,52,53], with severe smokers showing higher measures of these parameters. In our sample, however, we found no significant association between pack-years or number of smoked cigarettes per day with body fat. A possible explanation for this finding might be the low number of smokers in our sample, particularly severe smokers. Thus, such a small group could have a conservative effect in these parameters, reducing the chance of a positive association.

This study has some limitations. First, it is a cross-sectional study that does not allow us to infer about cause and effect. Second, there was no chemical verification of smoking status. Although this may be a problem, there are studies showing that self-reported smoking status are reliable in special groups of subjects. [20] Considering the possibility of a near surgery and the fear of complications, we believe that this information in this group of patients can be trusted. Another point is that we had a greater number of female smokers in our sample. While most studies have shown that the prevalence smokers is higher in males than females [54,55,56], among morbidly obese subjects this may not be true. A similar finding to ours was described by Koster et al., [54] who also shown that the percentage of smoking women with BMI > 35 kg/ m² was greater than among males [25,54,55,56]. Extracted and analyzed data from NHANES database registered that obese subjects with BMI >35 kg/m² had a higher (but non-significant) percentage of male smokers (21.2 vs. 17.4%, p = 0.181). In our study, we found smoker frequencies of 13.20% and 16.40% among males and females, respectively (p = 0.349). The gender differences in these both studies were not significant. Our findings can be also explained by the fact that our sample consisted of candidates for bariatric surgery, where the demand for the procedure is higher among women [55,56,57,58] and by the higher number of obese women in the world[59,60,61].



Several strengths of this study should also be highlighted. We used an accurate method to measure the percentage of body fat, validated in 2014 by Faria et al[62]. All anthropometric measures were collected by nutritional experts. Furthermore, this was the first study evaluating the association of body shape and smoking in a large sample of morbidly obese patients.

Conclusions

Smoking significantly increases the anthropometric and body composition outcomes (WC and BMI, and percentage of body fat, respectively) among male morbidly obese subjects. Among females, the effect was significant only in muscle mass.

We partially confirmed our initial hypotheses, founding that morbidly obese male smokers have greater central adiposity compared to non-smokers. However, contrary to our original hypothesis, there is a gender difference in several of the studied outcomes. Morbidly obese male smokers had higher BMI, even after adjusting for confounding factors, but not in females.

The role of smoking on changes in body composition among morbidly obese patients seems to be significant and is probably crucial to understanding the mechanisms of disease in these subjects.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression to evaluate the effect of smoking in the anthropometric and bioimpedance outcomes, sample stratified by gender and just with smokers and never-smokers (without former smokers). Note: Adjusted for gender (except on gender stratum), age, diabetes, dyslipidemia, alcohol abuse and picky eating; b = regression coefficient; BMI = body mass index; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; WHR = waist to hip ratio; SMM = muscle mass; BMR = basal metabolic rate; values in bold = statistically significant (p<0.05). (DOCX)

S2 Table. Comparison of smoking characteristics by gender. Note: MD = median. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression to evaluate the effect of pack-year units in the anthropometric and bioimpedance outcomes. Note: Adjusted for gender (except on gender stratum), age, diabetes, dyslipidemia, alcohol abuse and picky eater; b = regression coefficient; BMI = body mass index; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; WHR = waist to hip ratio; SMM = muscle mass; BMR = basal metabolic rate; values in bold = statistically significant (p<0.05). (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Mrs. Ceres Oliveira for her co-assistance in statistical analyses and to the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES/ Brazilian Ministry of Education) for the PhD scholarship for Raquel Chatkin.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RC JMC LS CM. Performed the experiments: RC. Analyzed the data: RC JMC LS DC MW. Wrote the paper: RC JMC LS DC CM.



References

- World Health Organization Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010. 2011; 176. Available at: http://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd_report_full_en.pdf. Accessed October 20th, 2014.
- Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. (2008) Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. Am J Clin Nutr 87: 801–809. PMID: 18400700
- Peeters A, Barendregt JJ, Willekens F, Mackenbach JP, Al Mamun A, Bonneux L. (2003) Obesity in adulthood and its consequences for life expectancy: a life-table analysis. Ann Intern Med 138: 24–32. PMID: 12513041
- Pisinger C, Jorgensen T. (2007) Weight concerns and smoking in a general population: the Inter99 study. Prev Med 44: 283–289. PMID: 17222451
- Chen H, Vlahos R, Bozinovski S, Jones J, Anderson GP, Morris MJ. (2005) Effect of short-term cigarette smoke exposure on body weight, appetite and brain neuropeptide Y in mice. Neuropsychopharmacol 30: 713–719.
- Kasteridis P, Yen ST. (2012) Smoking cessation and body weight: evidence from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey. Health Serv Res 47: 1580–1602. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2012.01380.x PMID: 22356600
- Tamura U, Tanaka T, Okamura T, Kadowaki T, Yamato H, Tanaka H, et al. (2010) Changes in weight, cardiovascular risk factors and estimated risk of coronary heart disease following smoking cessation in Japanese male workers: HIPOP-OHP study. J Atheroscler Thromb 17: 12–20. PMID: 20081325
- Clair C, Rigotti NA, Porneala B, Fox CS, D'Agostino RB, Pencina MJ, et al. (2013) Association of smoking cessation and weight change with cardiovascular disease among adults with and without diabetes. JAMA 309: 1014–1021. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.1644 PMID: 23483176
- Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, Clarke R, Emberson J, Halsey J, et al. (2009) Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. Lancet 373: 1083–1096. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60318-4 PMID: 19299006
- Schuster DP. (2010) Obesity and the development of type 2 diabetes: the effects of fatty tissue inflammation. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 3: 253–262. PMID: 21437093
- Ahima RS. (2011) Digging deeper into obesity. J Clin Invest 121: 2076–2079. doi: 10.1172/JCI58719 PMID: 21633174
- Chatkin R, Mottin CC, Chatkin JM. (2010) Smoking among morbidly obese patients. BMC Pulm Med 10: 61. doi: 10.1186/1471-2466-10-61 PMID: 21106095
- Patel K, Hargreaves MK, Liu J, Schlundt D, Sanderson M, Matthews CE, et al. (2011) Relationship between smoking and obesity among women. Am J Health Behav 35: 627–636. PMID: 22040623
- Saarni SE, Pietilainen K, Kantonen S, Rissanen A, Kaprio J. (2009) Association of smoking in adolescence with abdominal obesity in adulthood: a follow-up study of 5 birth cohorts of Finnish twins. Am J Public Health 99: 348–354. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.123851 PMID: 19059868
- Audrain-McGovern J, Benowitz NL. (2011) Cigarette smoking, nicotine, and body weight. Clin Pharmacol Ther 90: 164–168. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2011.105 PMID: 21633341
- 16. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease—A Report of the Surgeon General. 2010; http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library. Accessed October 22th, 2014.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. The Practical Guide Identification, Evaluation and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: National Institute of Health;2000.
- Health Canada. Health Concerns: Terminology. 2014; http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hc-ps/tobac-tabac/research-recherche/stat/ctums-esutc_term-eng.php. Accessed October 26th 2014, 2014.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)—Adult Tobacco Use Information. 2009; http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/tobacco/tobacco_glossary.htm. Accessed January 10th, 2015.
- Caraballo RS, Giovino GA, Pechacek TF, Mowery PD. (2001) Factors associated with discrepancies between self-reports on cigarette smoking and measured serum cotinine levels among persons aged 17 years or older: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. Am J Epidemiol 153: 807–814. PMID: 11296155
- 21. Goldman L, Schafer AI. (2012) Goldman's Cecil Medicine, Expert Consult Premium Edition Saunders. 2672 p.
- 22. Amorim P. (2000) Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): validation of a short structured diagnostic psychiatric interview. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 22: 106–115.
- 23. Melo ME, Mancini MC. (2009) Obesity. Rev Bras Med 66: 100-108.



- 24. Mizuno O, Okamoto K, Sawada M, Mimura M, Watanabe T, Morishita T, et al. (2005) Obesity and smoking: relationship with waist circumference and obesity-related disorders in men undergoing a health screening. J Atheroscler Thromb 12: 199–204. PMID: 16141623
- Akbartabartoori M, Lean ME, Hankey CR. (2005) Relationships between cigarette smoking, body size and body shape. Int J Obes (Lond) 29: 236–243. PMID: <u>15505632</u>
- Kim JH, Shim KW, Yoon YS, Lee SY, Kim SS, Oh SW. (2012) Cigarette smoking increases abdominal and visceral obesity but not overall fatness: an observational study. PLoS One 7: e45815. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0045815 PMID: 23029258
- Canoy D, Wareham N, Luben R, Welch A, Bingham S, Day N, et al. (2005) Cigarette smoking and fat distribution in 21,828 British men and women: a population-based study. Obes Res 13: 1466–1475. PMID: 16129730
- 28. Barrett-Connor E, Khaw KT. (1989) Cigarette smoking and increased central adiposity. Ann Intern Med 111: 783–787. PMID: 2817625
- Komiya H, Mori Y, Yokose T, Tajima N. (2006) Smoking as a risk factor for visceral fat accumulation in Japanese men. Tohoku J Exp Med 208: 123–132. PMID: 16434835
- **30.** Després JP. (2006) Abdominal obesity: the most prevalent cause of the metabolic syndrome and related cardiometabolic risk. European Heart Journal 8: 4–12.
- Cleland VJ, Schmidt MD, Dwyer T, Venn AJ. (2008) Television viewing and abdominal obesity in young adults: is the association mediated by food and beverage consumption during viewing time or reduced leisure-time physical activity? Am J Clin Nutr 87: 1148–1155. PMID: 18469233
- Lemieux S, Prud'homme D, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Despres JP. (1993) Sex differences in the relation of visceral adipose tissue accumulation to total body fatness. Am J Clin Nutr 58: 463–467. PMID: 8379501
- **33.** Nigro E, Scudiero O, Monaco ML, Palmieri A, Mazzarella G, Costagliola C, et al. (2014) New insight into adiponectin role in obesity and obesity-related diseases. Biomed Res Int. 2014: 1–14.
- Greenberg AS, Obin MS. (2006) Obesity and the role of adipose tissue in inflammation and metabolism. Am J Clin Nutr. 83: 461S–465S. PMID: 16470013
- 35. Bidulescu A, Liu J, Hickson DA, Hairston KG, Fox ER, Arnett DK, et al. (2013) Gender differences in the association of visceral and subcutaneous adiposity with adiponectin in African Americans: the Jackson Heart Study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 13: 9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-13-9 PMID: 23433085
- Faria Cda S, Botelho C, Silva RM, Ferreira MG. (2012) Smoking and abdominal fat in blood donors. J Bras Pneumol 38: 356–363. PMID: 22782606
- Bigaard J, Tjonneland A, Thomsen BL, Overvad K, Heitmann BL, Sørensen TI. (2003) Waist circumference, BMI, smoking, and mortality in middle-aged men and women. Obes Res 11: 895–903. PMID: 12855760
- Chatkin R, Chatkin JM. (2007) [Smoking and changes in body weight: can physiopathology and genetics explain this association?]. J Bras Pneumol 33: 712–719. PMID: 18200373
- Miyatake N, Wada J, Kawasaki Y, Nishii K, Makino H, Numata T. (2006) Relationship between metabolic syndrome and cigarette smoking in the Japanese population. Intern Med 45: 1039–1043. PMID: 17043374
- **40.** Sun K, Liu J, Ning G. (2012) Active smoking and risk of metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. PLoS One 7: e47791. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047791 PMID: 23082217
- Boyko EJ, Fujimoto WY, Leonetti DL, Newell-Morris L. (2000) Visceral adiposity and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study among Japanese Americans. Diabetes Care 23: 465–471. PMID: 10857936
- Goodpaster BH, Krishnaswami S, Resnick H, Kelley DE, Haggerty C, Harris TB, et al. (2003) Association between regional adipose tissue distribution and both type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in elderly men and women. Diabetes Care 26: 372–379. PMID: 12547865
- Zhu S, Heshka S, Wang Z, Shen W, Allison DB, Ross R, et al. (2004) Combination of BMI and waist circumference for identifying cardiovascular risk factors in whites. Obes Res 12: 633–645. PMID: 15090631
- **44.** Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK. (2007) Lifestyle factors and incident metabolic syndrome. The Tromso Study 1979–2001. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 78: 217–224. PMID: 17448561
- Nakanishi N, Takatorige T, Suzuki K. (2005) Cigarette smoking and the risk of the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged Japanese male office workers. Ind Health 43: 295–301. PMID: 15895844
- Fontaine KR, Redden DT, Wang C, Westfall AO, Allison DB. (2003) Years of life lost due to obesity. JAMA 289: 187–193. PMID: 12517229



- 47. Kitahara CM, Flint AJ, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Bernstein L, Brotzman M, MacInnis RJ, et al. (2014) Association between class III obesity (BMI of 40–59 kg/m2) and mortality: a pooled analysis of 20 prospective studies. PLoS Med 11: e1001673. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001673 PMID: 25003901
- Freedman DM, Sigurdson AJ, Rajaraman P, Doody MM, Linet MS, Ron E. (2006) The mortality risk of smoking and obesity combined. Am J Prev Med 31: 355–362. PMID: 17046405
- Action on Smoking & Health. Cigarette smoking and body mass index (BMI). 2012; http://www.ashscotland.org.uk/media/4496/BMI.pdf. Accessed December 15th, 2014.
- 50. Clair C, Chiolero A, Faeh D, Cornuz J, Marques-Vidal P, Paccaud F, et al. (2011) Dose-dependent positive association between cigarette smoking, abdominal obesity and body fat: cross-sectional data from a population-based survey. BMC Public Health 11: 23. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-23 PMID: 21223575
- Bamia C, Trichopoulou A, Lenas D, Trichopoulos D. (2004) Tobacco smoking in relation to body fat mass and distribution in a general population sample. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 28: 1091–1096. PMID: 15197410
- Rasky E, Stronegger WJ, Freidl W. (1996) The relationship between body weight and patterns of smoking in women and men. Int J Epidemiol 25: 1208–1212. PMID: 9027526
- Chiolero A, Jacot-Sadowski I, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. (2007) Association of cigarettes smoked daily with obesity in a general adult population. Obesity (Silver Spring) 15: 1311–1318. PMID: 17495208
- 54. Koster A, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A, Adams KF, van Eijk JT, Hollenbeck AR, et al. (2008) The combined relations of adiposity and smoking on mortality. Am J Clin Nutr 88: 1206–1212. PMID: 18996854
- Banka G, Woodard G, Hernandez-Boussard T, Morton JM. (2012) Laparoscopic vs open gastric bypass surgery: differences in patient demographics, safety, and outcomes. Arch Surg 147: 550–556. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.2012.195 PMID: 22786543
- Davis MM, Slish K, Chao C, Cabana MD. (2006) National trends in bariatric surgery, 1996–2002. Arch Surg 141: 71–74; discussion 75. PMID: 16415414
- Hintze LJ, Bevilaqua CA, Pimentel EB, Junior NN. (2012) Bariatric Surgery in Brazil. Rev Cienc Med 20: 87–98.
- Padwal RS, Chang HJ, Klarenbach S, Sharma AM, Majumdar SR. (2012) Characteristics of the population eligible for and receiving publicly funded bariatric surgery in Canada. Int J Equity Health 11: 54. doi: 10.1186/1475-9276-11-54 PMID: 22984790
- **59.** World Obesity Federation. About Obesity. 2012; http://www.worldobesity.org/aboutobesity/. Accessed January 3rd, 2015.
- Global Health Observatory (GHO). Obesity. 2014; http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/obesity_text/en/. Accessed January 3rd, 2015.
- World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. 2014; http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/. Accessed January 3rd, 2015.
- Faria SL, Faria OP, Cardeal MD, Ito MK. (2014) Validation study of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry among obese patients. Obes Surg 24: 1476–1480. doi: 10.1007/s11695-014-1190-5 PMID: 24464546