

Clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in the first year of life and related risk factors in three regions of Brazil: multicenter birth cohort study

A. R. Mantelli¹ · P. F. Kramer^{1,2} · M. R. Vítolo³ · A. C. Alves⁴ · N. Sarmento⁵ · C. A. Feldens¹

Received: 6 July 2022 / Accepted: 30 September 2022 / Published online: 14 October 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry 2022

Abstract

Purpose Estimate the incidence of teething symptoms and investigate risk factors at three centers in different regions of Brazil.

Methods A prospective cohort study enrolled children at birth in the cities of Manaus (northern region), Porto Alegre (southern region) and Salvador (northeast region). Sociodemographic and anthropometric variables were collected at baseline and 6 months. At 12 months, data were collected on the child's health through structured interviews and dental examinations, including the primary outcome: occurrence of signs and symptoms of tooth eruption reported by parents. Statistical analysis involved Poisson regression with robust variance, with calculation of relative risks (RR).

Results The incidence of teething symptoms was 82.4% (238/289). The multivariate analysis revealed a higher occurrence of the outcome in the city of Salvador (RR = 1.39; 95% CI 1.23–1.58), when mother's education was more than 11 years (RR = 1.31; 95% CI 1.04–1.65), when a larger number of individuals resided in the home (RR = 1.15; 95% CI 1.02–1.29), when a smoker resided in the home (RR = 1.16; 95% CI 1.03–1.31) and when the child presented flu or cold in the first year of life (RR = 1.23; 95% CI 1.09–1.38). The most reported symptoms were fever (50.5%), irritability (42.6%), itching (40.8%) and diarrhea (35.3%). Most parents (82%) took some action to alleviate symptoms, including unprescribed systemic medication, such as analgesic, anti-inflammatory and anti-diarrheic agents.

Conclusion Reports of teething symptoms were associated with the city investigated, socioeconomic factors of the families and characteristics of the child's health. A high rate of administering unprescribed medication was also found.

Keywords Tooth eruption \cdot Signs and symptoms \cdot Tooth \cdot Deciduous \cdot Pediatric dentistry

C. A. Feldens cafeldens@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, Brazil
- ² Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Pontifícia Universidade Católica, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ³ Graduate Program in Pediatrics: Child and Adolescent Health Care, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ⁴ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Brazil
- ⁵ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Universidade do Estado do Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

Introduction

Although tooth eruption is a physiological process, epidemiological studies in different communities throughout the world describe 60–90% rates of general or local signs and symptoms of teething reported by parents, caregivers and health care providers (Wake et al. 2000; Peretz et al. 2003; Feldens et al. 2010; Kiran et al. 2011; Ramos-Jorge et al. 2011; Memarpour et al. 2015; Massignan et al. 2016). The manifestations most attributed to teething are irritability, pain, fever, diarrhea, increased salivation, a reduction in appetite and sleep disturbance (Macknin et al. 2000; Peretz et al. 2003; Feldens et al. 2010; Memarpour et al. 2015; Lopes-Silva et al. 2021).

Two types of studies are generally conducted to capture the occurrence of signs and symptoms of teething. The first type involves the recording of signs and symptoms that occurred during tooth eruption in the previous months or years (Peretz et al. 2003; Feldens et al. 2010; Lam et al. 2016; Kiran et al. 2011). Such studies generally have an adequate sample size, which confers precision to the estimates and enables the detection of risk factors. However, the outcome is based on the perceptions of parents or caregivers, which can be influenced by cultural aspects of the community and are subject to recall bias (Feldens et al. 2010; Lam et al. 2016). The other method is the day-to-day follow-up of the eruption of different tooth groups by a team of caregivers at a school or health center who record signs and symptoms that occur simultaneously, such as the daily reading of temperature (Wake et al. 2000; Macknin et al. 2000; Ramos-Jorge et al. 2011; Memarpour et al. 2015). Although the exposure and outcome variables are collected with greater validity, such studies generally have a small sample size, which reduces the precision of the estimates, and the sample is composed of either highly selected or institutionalized children (Wake et al. 2000; Macknin et al. 2000; Ramos-Jorge et al. 2011; Memarpour et al. 2015).

The scarcity of evidence on clinical manifestations associated with tooth eruption impedes more uniform, adequate management on the part of health care providers. As a consequence, parents may medicate their children without first consulting a physician. Such actions may involve the application of a topical anesthetic or the administration of systemic medications, incurring the risks of self-medication (Feldens et al. 2010; Getaneh et al. 2018; Teixeira et al. 2019; FDA 2022). Moreover, inadequately attributing symptoms to teething could delay the diagnosis of conditions that occur simultaneously, which can place the child's health at risk. The clarification of these issues requires longitudinal studies with an adequate sample size and the controlling of variables related to the child's health to provide parents and health teams with more comprehensive information (Feldens et al. 2010; Lam et al. 2016).

Therefore, the aim of the present birth cohort study was to estimate the incidence of parent-reported signs and symptoms of teething in the first year of life, and investigate demographic, socioeconomic, behavioral and health-related risk factors in three cities located in different regions of Brazil. Local and systemic actions taken on the part of parents to deal with the symptoms were also described.

Methods

Study design and sample

The present prospective cohort study is part of a randomized clinical trial that investigated the effectiveness of dietary and nutritional counseling to mothers who had recently given birth to prevent the early introduction of sugar and ultra-processed foods in the first year of life of their newborn children. Mother–child pairs (n = 516) were recruited at the birth of the child at public hospitals in three state capitals of Brazil (Manaus, Salvador and Porto Alegre). These cities are, respectively, located in the northern, northeastern and southern regions of the country, and their populations have distinct sociodemographic characteristics: Manaus: 2.25 million inhabitants, infant mortality rate 12.8, Human development index (HDI): 0.737; Salvador: 2.90 million inhabitants, infant mortality rate 14.8, HDI: 0.759; Porto Alegre: 1.41 million inhabitants, infant mortality rate 7.8, HDI: 0.805.

The sample size was calculated considering the estimate of a reduction in the prevalence of children who consume sugar in the first year of life in a previous study (60% consumption in the control group and 30% in the intervention group) (Vitolo et al. 2014), a 95% power and 5% significance level, which determined a minimum sample of 342 children. A design factor of 1.2 was applied due to the cluster sampling procedure and 20% was added to compensate for possible dropouts, leading to a sample of 504 children. The number of children retained at 12 months (n = 289) determined the sample size of the present investigation. The post hoc calculation demonstrated that this study had a 99% power to detect a difference in the occurrence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in children between the cities of Salvador (95.3%; n = 102/107) and Porto Alegre (74.6%; n = 88/118). Figure 1 displays the flowchart of the cohort.

The inclusion criteria were mothers 18 years of age or older, negative for HIV and HTLV-1, single live birth and newborns with no clinical complications or other conditions that impeded breastfeeding. For the present study, children without teeth erupted by the dental examination at 12 months of age were excluded from the analysis.

Data collection

An interview was held with the mother after the birth of the child, and data were collected from the child's records and mother's medical chart to obtain the following: mother's education (in complete years of study and categorized as <8 years, 8–11 years or > 11 years), first pre-natal appointment (categorized as first trimester or later), mother's age (in complete years and categorized in terciles), family structure (nuclear [both father and mother live with child] or non-nuclear), number of residents in the home (categorized by the median), whether a grandparent participated in child care and whether any smokers resided in the home. The following data on the child's birth were collected: sex, birth weight (categorized as low or normal) and birth length (categorized as < or \geq 48 cm).

At 6 months, interviews were held with the mothers at their homes and the following anthropometric data were

Fig. 1 Flowchart of cohort



collected: body mass index (categorized as $< \text{ or } \ge +2$ standard deviations), duration of breastfeeding (categorized as $< \text{ or } \ge 6$ month), whether the child attended daycare and whether the child used a pacifier.

At 12 meses, interviews were held with the mothers at their homes and the following data related to the first year of life were collected: hospitalization, history of influenza or common cold diagnosed by a physician, use of antiallergic agent, use of antibiotic in the first year of life, age at eruption of the first tooth (categorized as \leq or > 6 meses) and occurrence of signs and symptoms of teething in the first year of life. In cases of an affirmative answer, the mothers were asked about the type of sign or symptom observed, whether any local or general measure was taken and what measure was taken. The outcome of the study was the occurrence of at least one sign or symptom of teething. The presence of erupted teeth at 12 months was collected during a dental clinical examination. All teeth erupted in the oral cavity were recorded.

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the aid of SPSS version 20. The outcome (report of signs and symptoms of teething) was expressed as absolute and relative frequency in the overall sample and in the categories of the independent variables. Proportion differences between categories were evaluated using the Chi-squared test. Poisson regression with robust variance was then performed with the calculation of unadjusted and adjusted relative risk (RR) values and respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). A hierarchical model was used with the division of the independent variables into three blocks according to the data collection period: variables related to the family and child at birth, variables related to the child at six months and variables related to the child at 12 months. Adjustments were made for all variables in the same block and hierarchically superior blocks. The significance level was set a 5% (p < 0.05). The post hoc analysis was performed with the chi-squared test to investigate the occurrence of differences between cities regarding the frequency of the different signs and symptoms reported.

Results

Among the initially recruited mother–child pairs, 385 (74.6%) were evaluated at 6 months and 335 (65.7%) were evaluated at 12 months. Forty-one children did not appear for the dental examination and five children were excluded for being edentulous. The predominant reasons for losses during the first year of life were having moved to another city and addresses not encountered. The sample analyzed (n=289) was similar to the originally recruited sample with regards to the baseline variables (Table 1); the only statistically significant difference was mean age of the mothers, which was approximately 1 year older in the sample analyzed compared to the mothers lost to follow-up (27.8 versus 26.6 years of age at the birth of the child; p = 0.049). Mean (standard deviation) mothers education was 10.0 (2.6) years and most families had a nuclear structure (75.3%).

Table 2 shows that the occurrence of sign and symptoms was reported in 82.4% (238/289) of the children. The incidence was higher among children in Salvador (95.3%) compared to Porto Alegre and Manaus (74.6% and 75.0%, respectively; p < 0.001). The unadjusted model revealed a lower occurrence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in children that had been hospitalized.

The multivariate analysis (Table 3) showed that the incidence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption was 39% higher among children in Salvador compared to Porto Alegre. Mothers with more than 11 years of education and those with 8–11 years of education, respectively, reported the occurrence of clinical manifestations 31% and 23% more compared to those with less than 8 years of education. Reports of the occurrence of signs and symptoms of tooth eruption were more frequent in homes with more than four residents and those with a smoker. The occurrence of the

Table 1Characteristics ofparticipants at baseline ofcohort study and at 12 monthsof age

outcome was also 23% more frequent when the child had a history of influenza or the common cold in the first year of life. The variable 'hospitalization' lost its significance after the adjustment for confounding factors.

General symptoms of teething predominated over local systems (76.5% and 48.1%, respectively). The most reported general symptoms were fever (50.5%), irritability (42.6%) and diarrhea (35.3%), whereas the most prevalent local symptoms were itching (40.8%) and increased salivation (27.7%). With the exception of pain and difficulty sleeping, all symptoms differed among the centers evaluated and were more frequent in Salvador compared to the other two cities (Table 4).

Among the 238 parents who described clinical manifestations during tooth eruption, 195 (81.9%) reported taking some type of action to relieve the signs and symptoms; 145 (60.9%) took actions with a systemic effect and 132 (55.5%) took actions with a local effect (Fig. 2). The most prevalent actions were systemic analgesic, local analgesic ointment, the use of a teething ring and systemic anti-inflammatory agent. Other actions reported were the use of tea, anti-diarrheic medication, antihistamine and the offer of cold solid foods.

Discussion

The present study investigated the occurrence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in the first year of life reported by parents and risk factors after adjustments for potential confounders. The most important result was the difference in the occurrence of symptoms depending upon the community evaluated and the greater incidence of the outcome in children with a history of influenza or the common cold in the first year of life. Moreover, the administration of medication without a prescription was a very frequent practice employed to mitigate clinical manifestations attributed to teething.

	Initial cohort		Sample analyze	zed
	n (%)	Mean (SD)	n (%)	Mean (SD)
Maternal and family variables				
Mother's age (years)		27.3 (6.7)		27.8 (6.8)
Mother's education (years)		10.1 (2.5)		10.0 (2.6)
Nuclear family	386 (75.2)		216 (75.3)	
Smoker in home	145 (28.2)		81 (28.1)	
Child's variables				
Male sex	258 (50.1)		151 (52.2)	
Birth weight (g)		3.357 (444)		3363 (452)
Birth length (cm)		48.7 (2.0)		48.8 (2.0)

Table 2Absolute and relativefrequency of occurrence ofclinical manifestations oftooth eruption in first year oflife according to independentvariables

Variables	п	(%)	Clinica of tooth	p value	
			n	(%)	
Overall sample	289	(100.0)	238	(82.4)	1
Variables of family and child at birth					
City					< 0.00
Porto Alegre	118	(40.8)	88	(74.6)	
Manaus	64	(22.2)	48	(75.0)	
Salvador	107	(37.0)	102	(95.3)	
Mother's education					0.25
<8 years	45	(15.8)	33	(73.3)	
8–11 years	194	(68.1)	163	(84.0)	
>11 years	46	(16.1)	38	(82.6)	
First pre-natal care					0.27
1st trimester	139	(48.1)	118	(84.9)	
\geq 2nd trimester	150	(51.9)	120	(80.0)	
Mother's age					0.94
<24 years	96	(33.3)	79	(82.3)	
24–30 years	92	(32.0)	77	(83.7)	
> 30 years	100	(34.7)	82	(82.0)	
Family structure					0.34
Nuclear	216	(75.3)	175	(81.0)	
Non-nuclear	71	(24.7)	61	(85.9)	
Number of residents in home					0.39
≤ 4	160	(55.4)	129	(80.6)	
>4	129	(44.6)	109	(84.5)	
Assistance from grandparent					0.08
Yes	183	(65.6)	156	(85.2)	
No	96	(34.4)	74	(77.1)	
Smoker in home					0.42
No	207	(71.9)	168	(81.2)	
Yes	81	(28.1)	69	(85.2)	
Child's sex					0.61
Male	151	(52.2)	126	(83.4)	
Female	138	(47.8)	112	(81.2)	
Birth weight					0.71
<2500 g	27	(9.4)	23	(85.2)	
≥2500 g	261	(90.6)	215	(82.4)	
Birth length				-	0.97
<48 cm	74	(25.7)	61	(82.4)	
≥48 cm	214	(74.3)	176	(82.2)	
– Child's variables at 6 months				-	
BMI for age					0.18
<+2 standard deviations	240	(88.6)	201	83.8	
\geq +2 standard deviations	31	(11.4)	23	74.2	
Duration of breastfeeding		. /			0.35
<6 months	52	(18.3)	45	(86.5)	
≥ 6 months	232	(81.7)	188	(81.0)	
Daycare at 6 months				. /	0.29
Yes	33	(12.2)	29	(87.9)	
No	238	(87.8)	191	(80.3)	
Pacifier use at 6 months		·····/			0.56

Table 2 (continued)

Variables	п	(%)	Clinica of tooth	p value	
			n	(%)	
No	161	(59.2)	129	(80.1)	
Yes	111	(40.8)	92	(82.9)	
Child's variables at 12 months					
Hospitalization in 1st year of life					0.029
No	229	(85.4)	192	(83.8)	
Yes	39	(14.6)	27	(69.2)	
Flu/cold in 1st year of life					0.175
No	180	(66.4)	142	(78.9)	
Yes	91	(33.6)	78	(85.7)	
Use of anti-allergic in 1st year of life					0.168
No	217	(79.2)	173	(79.7)	
Yes	57	(20.8)	50	(87.7)	
Use of antibiotic in 1st year of life					0.231
No	201	(73.4)	167	(83.1)	
Yes	73	(26.6)	56	(76.7)	
Age at eruption of 1st tooth					1.000
≤ 6 months	153	(52.9)	126	(82.4)	
>6 months	136	(47.1)	112	(82.4)	

The major challenge in studies on this issue is the differentiation of clinical manifestations that result from tooth eruption and signs and symptoms that have other causes. Previous studies report a considerable variation in the occurrence of this outcome, which is generally explained by the different methods employed (Feldens et al. 2010; Lam et al. 2016; Massignan et al. 2016; Nemezio et al. 2017). In the present investigation, however, the incidence of practically all general and local symptoms was higher in one of the cities (Salvador), although the same data collection instrument was administered by interviewees who had had the same training. Particularly, two general symptoms that could have other causes-diarrhea and a lack of appetite-differed strongly among the regions evaluated. Although genetic characteristics related to ethnicity cannot be discarded as an explanation for such differences (Lam et al. 2016), this finding lends strength to the notion that the beliefs of a community can at least partially exert an influence on the report of signs and symptoms of teething. Previous studies have reported that the most universal belief related to tooth eruption is its association with diarrhea (Coreil et al. 1995; Wake et al. 2000).

Along the same line, a recurrent explanation among clinicians and researchers is the concomitance of health conditions and prevalent development events in the first year of life and the period in which the teeth are erupting (Wake et al. 2000; Macknin et al. 2000; Hulland et al. 2000). In the present study, the incidence of signs and symptoms of teething reported by parents was higher among children who had episodes of flu and colds diagnosed by a physician. Fever, a lack of appetite, difficulty sleeping and increased irritability are characteristic symptoms of colds, and it is possible that these episodes coincided with the period of tooth eruption in the first year of life (Macknin et al. 2000; Wake et al. 2000). Thus, other conditions may cause the symptoms reported by parents. This finding underscores the need to follow up the evolution of symptoms initially attributed to teething through a professional evaluation as well as adequate treatment and care in cases of bacterial or viral infections. Situations that require a diagnosis and immediate treatment, such as diarrhea, meningitis, and upper respiratory infection, have been reported for children in whom the parents' complaint was symptoms due to teething (Swan 1979; King et al. 1992; Wake et al. 2000).

On the other hand, one cannot discard the fact that tooth eruption produces local symptoms that were highly prevalent in this and previous studies, such as itching, and general symptoms, such as irritability and difficulty sleeping (Macknin et al. 2000; Feldens et al. 2010; Ramos-Jorge et al. 2011; Teixeira et al. 2019). Demonstrating a relationship of causality between tooth eruption and clinical manifestations would require the daily follow-up of a very large number of children, which is unviable from the methodological standpoint. Thus, a discerning assessment of the reports of parents and guardians seems to be the best basis on which to guide the diagnosis and clinical conduct.

The higher incidence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in children whose mothers had more education

No

Table 3 Unadjusted and adjusted relative risk (RR) of occurrence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in first year of life according to independent variables

Variables	Unadjusted analysis			Adjusted analysis			
	RR (95% CI)		р	RR	(95% CI)	р	
Variables of family and child at b	oirth						
City			< 0.001				
Porto Alegre	1.00			1.00			
Manaus	1.01	(0.84–1.20)		0.94	(0.78–1.14)	0.544	
Salvador	1.28	(1.14–1.43)		1.39	(1.23–1.58)	< 0.001	
Mother's education			0.360				
<8 years	1.00						
8–11 years	1.15	(0.95–1.38)		1.23	(1.02–1.50)	0.033	
>11 years	1.13	(0.90 - 1.40)		1.31	(1.04–1.65)	0.021	
First pre-natal care			0.274				
1st trimester	1.06	(0.95–1.18)		1.08	(0.97 - 1.19)	0.156	
\geq 2nd trimester	1.00			1.00			
Mother's age			0.946				
<24 years	1.00	(0.88 - 1.14)		1.05	(0.91 - 1.22)	0.473	
24–30 years	1.02	(0.90-1.16)		1.05	(0.92 - 1.20)	0.50	
> 30 years	1.00			1.00			
Family structure			0.314				
Nuclear	1.00			1.00			
Non-nuclear	1.06	(0.95 - 1.19)		0.88	(0.77 - 1.01)	0.072	
Number of residents in home		. ,	0.386				
≤4	1.00			1.00			
_ >4	1.05	(0.94–1.16)		1.15	(1.02 - 1.29)	0.018	
Assistance from grandparent		. ,	0.113		. ,		
Yes	1.11	(0.98–1.25)		1.07	(0.94 - 1.22)	0.29	
No	1.00						
Smoker in home			0.397				
No	1.00			1.00			
Yes	1.05	(0.94–1.17)		1.16	(1.03–1.31)	0.018	
Child's sex		(01) 1 2121)	0.612		()		
Male	1.00			1.00			
Female	0.97	(0.87 - 1.08)		0.94	(0.84 - 1.04)	0.226	
Birth weight	0.97	(0.07 1.00)	0.694	0.71	(0.01 1.01)	0.220	
<2500 g	1.03	(0.87-1.22)	0.091	0.93	(0.76–1.14)	0.474	
≥2500 g	1.00	(0.07 1.22)		1.00	(0.70 1.11)	0.17	
Birth length	1.00		0.971	1.00			
<48 cm	1.00	(0.89–1.13)	0.771	0.97	(0.84–1.11)	0.610	
\geq 48 cm	1.00	(0.0) 1.13)		1.00	(0.04 1.11)	0.010	
Child's variables at 6 months	1.00			1.00			
BMI for age			0.269				
<2 standard deviations	1.00		0.20)	1.00			
≥ 2 standard deviations	0.89	(0.71-1.10)		0.90	(0.72–1.12)	0.335	
Duration of breastfeeding	0.09	(0.71-1.10)	0.299	0.70	(0.72-1.12)	0.55.	
<6 meses	1.00		0.299	1.00			
<0 meses ≥6 meses	0.94	(0.83, 1.02)		0.91	(0.77, 1.06)	0.219	
	0.94	(0.83–1.03)	0.200	0.91	(0.77–1.06)	0.218	
Daycare at 6 months	1 10	(0.05 12.6)	0.209	1.05	(0.00, 1.24)	0 510	
Yes	1.10	(0.95–12.6)		1.05	(0.90–1.24)	0.518	
No Use of nonifor at 6 months	1.00		0.570	1.00			
Use of pacifier at 6 months	0.07	(0.86, 1.08)	0.562	1.05	(0.03, 1.18)	0.416	
NIO.	n 07/	$(1) \times (1) $		1.05	(0.93_1.18)	0.41	

0.97

(0.86 - 1.08)

1.05

(0.93 - 1.18)

0.416

Table 3 (continued)

Variables	Unadji	usted analysis		Adjusted analysis			
	RR	(95% CI)	р	RR	(95% CI)	р	
Yes	1.00			1.00			
Child's variables at 12 months							
Hospitalization			0.083				
No	1.00			1.00			
Yes	0.83	(0.66–1.03)		0.96	(0.78 - 1.19)	0.743	
Had flu/cold			0.150				
No	1.00			1.00			
Yes	1.09	(0.97–1.22)		1.23	(1.09–1.38)	0.001	
Use of anti-allergic			0.113				
No	1.00			1.00			
Yes	1.10	(0.98–1.24)		0.95	(0.83-1.08)	0.409	
Use of antibiotic			0.267				
No	1.00			1.00			
Yes	1.08	(0.94–1.25)		1.11	(0.98–1.26)	0.112	
Age at eruption of 1st tooth			1.00				
≤ 6 months	1.00	(0.90–1.11)		0.95	(0.84 - 1.08)	0.954	
>6 months	1.00			1.00			

Table 4 Occurrence of generaland local symptoms of tootheruption and type of symptomreported by parents according tocity (n=289)

Type of symptom	All	All With symptom		Porto Alegre With symp- tom		Salvador With symptom		aus	<i>p</i> value
	With							n symp-	
	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	
General or local symptom	238	82.4	88	74.6	102	95.3	48	75.0	< 0.001
General symptom	221	76.5	81	68.6	94	87.9	46	71.9	0.002
Fever	146	50.5	57	48.3	66	61.7	23	35.9	0.004
Irritability	123	42.6	37	31.4	60	56.1	26	40.6	0.001
Diarrhea	102	35.3	27	22.9	56	52.3	19	29.7	< 0.001
Lack of appetite	45	15.6	3	2.5	37	34.6	5	7.8	< 0.001
Skin rash	23	8.0	1	0.8	22	20.6	0	0.0	< 0.001
Pain	21	7.3	5	4.2	10	9.3	6	9.4	0.257
Difficulty sleeping	15	5.2	3	2.5	10	9.3	2	3.1	0.050
Local symptom	139	48.1	29	24.6	96	89.7	14	21.9	< 0.001
Itching	118	40.8	21	17.8	88	82.2	9	14.1	< 0.001
Increased salivation	80	27.7	9	7.6	67	62.6	4	6.3	< 0.001
Inflamed gums	18	6.2	2	1.7	12	11.2	4	6.3	0.013

may be at least partially explained by psychosocial characteristics. Mothers with a higher socioeconomic status are generally more attentive to signs, symptoms, the risk of developing a health problem, and its potential consequences (Harris et al. 2017). It is therefore plausible that this perception extends to symptoms in the period of tooth eruption. Reports of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption and fever in families with a better socioeconomic status have been described in previous studies conducted in Brazil and Singapore, respectively (Feldens et al. 2010; Lam et al. 2016). However, other studies have not investigated this issue, which precludes a comparison of the results.

The number of residents in the home was also associated with the outcome. It is possible that a greater number of individuals residing with the child increases the level of supervision, the perception of symptoms and the occurrence of discussions on facts and routines in the child's daily life, including the manifestation of increased salivation, irritability and biting on objects to relieve itching. However, there is no simple explanation for the association with the presence of a smoker in the home. Only one previous study





reported a greater frequency of symptoms of teething in families exposed to passive smoking and when the mother was a smoker (Lam et al. 2016). Other studies have reported that the presence of smokers in families is associated with oral health variables, such as the early introduction of sugar in the child's life, dental caries and other adverse events (problems with speaking and motor skills) (Bernabé et al. 2017; WHO 2013; Tanaka et al. 2015; Feldens et al. 2021). Among the mechanisms of this association, exposure to passive smoke has been suggested to affect acetylcholine receptors in the fetal brain and alter patterns of cell proliferation and differentiation as well as interfere with the supply of oxygen to the fetus, which is linked to increased sensitivity to pain and a lower capacity of the child to remain calm in situations of stress (Law et al. 2003). Moreover, smoking may be a marker of greater anxiety or stress and less resilience (Bernabé et al. 2017; Tanaka et al. 2015; Feldens et al. 2021). It is, therefore, possible that such characteristics may at least partially explain the greater report of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption in homes with a smoker.

The vast majority of parents took some action to alleviate the symptoms. While some actions do not have the potential to cause harm to the child and can contribute to the remission of some symptoms, such as massaging the gums and offering cold foods (Memarpour et al. 2015; AAP 2019), others constitute a form of self-medication, such as the administration of analgesic, anti-inflammatory and anti-diarrheic agents (Wake et al. 1999; Plutzer et al. 2012). This finding is worrisome, as tooth eruption is a phenomenon that takes weeks and is repeated with each tooth group in the early years of life. The administration of medications without a medical prescription can be prolonged, placing the child's health at risk and increasing the cost to the family. Although rare, oral drug products containing benzocaine in children younger than 2 years

can cause methemoglobinemia, a condition in which the amount of oxygen carried through the blood is greatly reduced and can result in death (FDA 2022). Moreover, mistakenly attributing symptoms to teething or disregarding symptoms, especially fever and diarrhea, can delay the diagnosis of conditions that occur concomitantly and can threaten the child's life.

Among the clinical implications of the present study, health care providers should pay particular attention to parental complaints of signs and symptoms attributed to tooth eruption. While it is plausible that some clinical manifestations result from the tooth eruption process, parental reports may reflect the beliefs of the family or community, the degree of child supervision or even coinciding health conditions. The proper diagnosis on a caseby-case basis is the presupposition for a clinical approach that balances the management of specific cases, avoiding the risks of self-medication while also not disregarding symptoms that may result from other general health problems.

This study has limitations that should be considered. The number of losses to follow-up in the first year of live may have affected the results in an unknown direction. However, the proportion of losses is similar to that found in the first year of life in other cohort studies and the likelihood of selection bias is low, as demonstrated by the similar characteristics between the sample analyzed and the dropouts. As this study accompanied the first year of life of the children, it was not possible to investigate the effect of the eruption of the molars and canines. However, the incidence of signs and symptoms of eruption is greater in the first year of life during the eruption of the incisors (Peretz et al. 2003). The possibility of recall bias is not likely to have affected the results, considering the short time between symptoms and data collection.

The occurrence of clinical manifestations of tooth eruption varied among the communities evaluated and was more frequent in children with a history of influenza or the common cold in the first year of life, children whose mothers had a higher level of education and those in families with a larger number of residents in the home. This study also found a highly frequent administration of unprescribed medications to alleviate clinical manifestations attributed to teething. Taken together, these data can contribute to the diagnosis, counseling and clinical management offered by health care providers with regards to complaints reported by parents.

Acknowledgements The work was supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) (No. 408125/2017-9).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval The study received approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (No. 88214918.3.0000.5345). All parents signed a statement of informed consent after receiving clarifications regarding the intervention and all phases of the data collection process. This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

References

- American Academy of Pediatrics. Caring for your baby and young child. New York: Bantam Books; 2019.
- Bernabé E, MacRitchie H, Longbottom C, Pitts NB, Sabbah W. Birth weight, breastfeeding, maternal smoking and caries trajectories. J Dent Res. 2017;96:171–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034516 678181.
- Coreil J, Price L, Barkey N. Recognition and management of teething diarrhoea among Florida pediatricians. Clin Pediatr. 1995;34:591– 6. https://doi.org/10.1177/000992289503401104.
- FDA. Food & Drug Administration. Risk of serious and potentially fatal blood disorder prompts FDA action on oral over-the-counter benzocaine products used for teething and mouth pain and prescription local anesthetics. 2018. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/ drug-safety-and-availability/risk-serious-and-potentially-fatalblood-disorder-prompts-fda-action-oral-over-counter-benzocaine. Accessed 25 Jun 2022.
- Feldens CA, Faraco IM, Ottoni AB, Feldens EG, Vítolo MR. Teething symptoms in the first year of life and associated factors: a cohort study. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2010;34(3):201–6.
- Feldens CA, Vítolo MR, Maciel RR, Baratto PS, Rodrigues PH, Kramer PF. Exploring the risk factors for early-life sugar consumption: a birth cohort study. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2021;31:223– 30. https://doi.org/10.1111/ipd.12713.
- Getaneh A, Derseh F, Abreha M, Yirtaw T. Misconceptions and traditional practices towards infant teething symptoms among mothers in Southwest Ethiopia. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):1–6. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0619-y.

- Harris RV, Pennington A, Whitehead M. Preventive dental visiting: a critical interpretive synthesis of theory explaining how inequalities arise. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2017;45:120–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12268.
- Hulland SA, Lucas JO, Wake MA, Hesketh KD. Eruption of the primary dentition in human infants: a prospective descriptive study. Pediatr Dent. 2000;22(5):415–21.
- King DL, Steinhauer W, García-Godoy F, Elkins CJ. Herpetic gingivostomatitis and teething difficulty in infants. Pediatr Dent. 1992;14(2):82–5.
- Kiran K, Swati T, Kamala BK, Jaiswal D. Prevalence of systemic and local disturbances in infants during primary teeth eruption: a clinical study. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2011;12(4):249.
- Lam CU, Hsu CS, Yee R, Koh D, Lee YS, Chong MF, et al. Earlylife factors affect risk of pain and fever in infants during teething periods. Clin Oral Investig. 2016;20(8):1861–70. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00784-015-1658-2.
- Law KL, Stroud LR, LaGasse LL, Niaura R, Liu J, Lester BM. Smoking during pregnancy and newborn neurobehavior. Pediatrics. 2003;111(6):1318–23. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.111.6.1318.
- Lopes-Silva J, Paiva SM, Abreu LG, Castro Martins C, Rabelo-Costa D, FerrarezBouzada MC, Baccin BC. Signs and symptoms of primary tooth eruption in preterm and low birth weight children. J Dent Child (chic). 2021;88(2):94–100.
- Macknin ML, Piedmonte M, Jacobs J, Skibinski C. Symptoms associated with infant teething: a prospective study. Pediatrics. 2000;105(4):747–52. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.105.4.747.
- Massignan C, Cardoso M, Porporatti AL, Aydinoz S, Canto Gde L, Mezzomo LA, Bolan M. Signs and symptoms of primary tooth eruption: a meta-analysis. Pediatrics. 2016. https://doi.org/10. 1542/peds.2015-3501.
- Memarpour M, Soltanimehr E, Eskandarian T. Signs and symptoms associated with primary tooth eruption: a clinical trial of nonpharmacological remedies. BMC Oral Health. 2015;15(1):1–8. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12903-015-0070-2.
- Nemezio MA, Mh De Oliveira K, Romualdo PC, Queiroz AM, Wg Paula-E-Silva F, Absilva R, Küchler EC. Association between fever and primary tooth eruption: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2017;10(3):293–8. https://doi. org/10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1453.
- Peretz B, Ram D, Laura BH, Maria OMM. Systemic manifestations during eruption of primary teeth in infants. J Dent Child. 2003;70(2):170–3.
- Plutzer K, Spencer AJ, Keirse MJ. How first-time mothers perceive and deal with teething symptoms: a randomized controlled trial. Child Care Health Dev. 2012;38(2):292–9. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2214.2011.01215.x.
- Ramos-Jorge J, Pordeus IA, Ramos-Jorge ML, Paiva SM. Prospective longitudinal study of signs and symptoms associated with primary tooth eruption. Pediatrics. 2011;128(3):471–6. https://doi.org/10. 1542/peds.2010-2697.
- Swan IL. Teething complications: a persisting misconception. Postgrad Med J. 1979;55:24. https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.55.639.24.
- Tanaka S, Shinzawa M, Tokumasu H, Seto K, Tanaka S, Kawakami K. Secondhand smoke and incidence of dental caries in deciduous teeth among children in Japan: population based retrospective cohort study. Version 2. BMJ. 2015;351:53–97. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmj.h5397.
- Teixeira RBH, Romão DA, Nemezio MA. Evaluación de la relación de los signos y síntomas durante el período de erupción de los dientes primarios. Rev Odontopediatr Latinoam. 2019;9(2):9. https://doi. org/10.47990/alop.v9i2.173.
- Vitolo MR, Louzada ML, Rauber F. Positive impact of child feeding training program for primary care health professionals: a cluster randomized field trial. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2014;17(4):873–86. https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-4503201400040007.

- Wake M, Hesketh K, Allen MA. Parent beliefs about infant teething: a survey of Australian parents. J Paediatr Child Health. 1999;35(5):446–9. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1754.1999. 355395.x.
- Wake M, Hesketh K, Lucas J. Teething and tooth eruption in infants: a cohort study. Pediatrics. 2000;106(6):1374–9. https://doi.org/10. 1542/peds.106.6.1374.
- World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for the prevention and management of tobacco use and second-hand smoke exposure in pregnancy. Geneva. 2013. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/ 10665/94555, Accessed 05 Jul 2022.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.